```
1
00:00:13,660 --> 00:00:16,190
Okay, it's 1115 and the hearing is resumed.
00:00:19,850 --> 00:00:23,470
So moving on to requirements 10 and 11 and Consultees,
00:00:24,850 --> 00:00:25,580
uh,
00:00:25,580 \longrightarrow 00:00:29,910
natural England suggested in its relevant representation that
provision be made
00:00:29,910 --> 00:00:34,870
within requirement 10, which relates to the outfall for Consult,
6
00:00:34,870 --> 00:00:39,070
consult consultation with itself and the Environment Agency. Um,
00:00:39,070 \longrightarrow 00:00:40,790
natural England also requested
00:00:42,370 \longrightarrow 00:00:45,190
to be added as a consult in respect of requirements 11,
00:00:45,950 \longrightarrow 00:00:49,990
relating to the landscape, ecological and recreational management
plan.
10
00:00:50,930 --> 00:00:53,600
Um, I suppose, first of all,
11
00:00:53,970 --> 00:00:56,720
could I just go to the Environment Agency and, um,
12
00:00:56,940 --> 00:01:01,800
see if that's something that they would also have the same view
13
00:01:01,800 --> 00:01:04,800
on as Natural England with re with regards to requirement 10?
```

```
14
00:01:09,230 --> 00:01:14,100
Hello, sir? Yes. Uh, we haven't never been an environment agency.
Um,
15
00:01:14,160 --> 00:01:17,420
we haven't got any further comments to make from our representation,
um,
16
00:01:17,490 --> 00:01:18,323
that we made.
17
00:01:20,730 --> 00:01:25,580
Okay. So in terms of requirement 10, would you seek to be a
consultee on that?
18
00:01:25,590 --> 00:01:27,460
Which regard? Which relates to the outfall
19
00:01:28,600 --> 00:01:29,940
To be able to have some, uh,
20
00:01:30,180 \longrightarrow 00:01:34,500
comments on the vegetation except you around the area then? Yes,
that'd be,
21
00:01:34,520 --> 00:01:35,660
that'd be good, please. Thank you.
00:01:36,730 --> 00:01:40,700
Okay. And if Thank you very much and if I could ask the applicant,
um,
23
00:01:40,730 --> 00:01:44,820
whether they've had any thoughts on that, um,
24
00:01:45,170 --> 00:01:48,460
Well, on, on, uh, Paul May for the applicant, um,
25
00:01:48,790 --> 00:01:50,700
we've got no objection to the principle. Absolutely.
```

```
00:01:50,700 --> 00:01:53,060
We're quite happy to consult with the Environment Agency with
Natural England
27
00:01:53,080 --> 00:01:54,860
on, on, on both of those. Um,
00:01:55,760 \longrightarrow 00:01:59,180
my query would come as to how that might be reflected in the
drafting of the
29
00:01:59,180 --> 00:02:04,060
requirements because, um, in, in, in my experience, um, these,
30
00:02:04,320 \longrightarrow 00:02:07,740
uh, these, these kind of things go two ways. One,
31
00:02:07,740 \longrightarrow 00:02:12,190
there's an express provision put in the requirement that, uh, the,
32
00:02:12,530 --> 00:02:16,520
uh, planning authority, uh,
00:02:17,190 --> 00:02:19,160
must consult with, uh,
34
00:02:19,230 \longrightarrow 00:02:24,000
natural England or the Environment Agency in discharging the
requirement. Um,
00:02:24,310 --> 00:02:25,480
alternatively, I,
36
00:02:25,480 --> 00:02:28,680
I've recently had experience of examining authorities seeking to
keep that kind
37
00:02:28,680 --> 00:02:33,240
of drafting specifically out of, uh, doos on the basis that, um,
38
00:02:33,470 --> 00:02:37,840
it's up to the planning authority as to whom they consult on receipt
```

```
of any, uh,
39
00:02:37,860 --> 00:02:40,200
any application. But, but as a matter of principle,
00:02:40,200 --> 00:02:44,560
there's certainly no objection from, uh, from the applicant, um, uh,
41
00:02:44,700 --> 00:02:48,760
to such consultation. And, um, I'll be guided, uh,
42
00:02:49,380 --> 00:02:54,280
by you as a panel as to how you would like to, uh, the, the, the,
43
00:02:54,300 --> 00:02:57,040
uh, the applicant to address it as, uh, from a drafting perspective.
00:03:00,010 --> 00:03:03,800
Okay. Um, so just looking at,
45
00:03:12,890 --> 00:03:17,020
well, I suppose first of all, maybe the local authorities could, uh,
46
00:03:17,300 --> 00:03:21,900
indicate how they normally discharge requirements and whether they
47
00:03:21,900 --> 00:03:25,540
automatically consult the Environment Agency and Natural England,
for example,
48
00:03:25,720 --> 00:03:26,553
in any case.
49
00:03:26,720 --> 00:03:30,420
So I'm, I'll, I'll ask Mr to deal with that in a moment.
50
00:03:30,560 --> 00:03:32,860
But this actually provides a, um,
51
00:03:33,480 --> 00:03:38,340
an opportunity for me to raise a point that it's of consistency
```

```
52
00:03:38,340 --> 00:03:40,180
throughout the order, uh, order itself.
53
00:03:40,790 --> 00:03:45,220
There is reference largely to discharging authorities, um,
54
00:03:45,220 --> 00:03:49,500
within the provisions. The discharging authority is, is, sorry,
00:03:49,690 --> 00:03:52,860
that means going back to Article two, it may be better. I raise this
at the end,
56
00:03:52,960 --> 00:03:56,980
but I'll raise it briefly. Now, we've got definition of discharging
authority,
57
00:03:57,120 --> 00:04:01,100
and there is also reference to the relevant planning authority in
Article two.
58
00:04:01,800 --> 00:04:03,420
Uh, and that refers,
59
00:04:04,570 --> 00:04:08,980
it's just an error only to a district planning authority where, of
course,
60
00:04:09,280 --> 00:04:13,820
county council who would be dealing with the large part issue such
as this.
61
00:04:14,520 --> 00:04:15,210
So
62
00:04:15,210 --> 00:04:18,900
Yeah, we do, I think we do have a, an item agenda item on that
actually.
63
00:04:19,200 --> 00:04:20,060
So maybe, but I'll,
```

```
64
00:04:20,060 --> 00:04:23,660
I'll leave that for the moment, then I'll be quiet and I'll ask Mr.
Kafer to,
65
00:04:23,660 --> 00:04:25,060
to, to set out what would need
66
00:04:25,160 --> 00:04:26,180
To be dealt with. Okay, thank you.
67
00:04:27,170 --> 00:04:31,900
Yeah. As, as the, uh, mills and Waste Planning Authority, um, I, I,
68
00:04:32,060 --> 00:04:35,940
I believe, and I'll, I'll need to confirm and, and, uh, come back to
you on,
69
00:04:35,960 --> 00:04:39,340
on in writing once I've spoken to my colleagues, but I believe we
would,
70
00:04:39,480 --> 00:04:42,500
we would be, uh, consulting, uh,
00:04:42,500 --> 00:04:45,900
environment Agency in Natural England on these sorts of matters.
00:04:48,880 --> 00:04:52,450
Okay. So if you just maybe have a look at requirement 10,
73
00:04:52,450 --> 00:04:56,110
just I briefly. And
74
00:04:59,030 --> 00:05:02,410
so you have ten one, which talks about
00:05:04,380 --> 00:05:09,220
a detailed construction outfall and management plan submitted to
00:05:09,360 --> 00:05:14,100
```

```
and approved by the relevant planning authority. But then in,
77
00:05:14,460 --> 00:05:15,380
I suppose in ten three,
00:05:16,640 --> 00:05:17,320
You
79
00:05:17,320 \longrightarrow 00:05:21,300
Say the detailed construction outfall may be revised from time to
time
80
00:05:22,170 --> 00:05:26,740
following consultation with the environ agency in Natural England.
So I suppose,
81
00:05:28,040 --> 00:05:32,140
why would you consult them for any revisions rather than
82
00:05:32,650 --> 00:05:35,700
consult them on the actual plan in the first place?
83
00:05:39,360 --> 00:05:44,270
Thank you, sir. Uh, Paul May the applicant, um, well, as, as, as
explained,
84
00:05:44.490 --> 00:05:48.150
um, there's no objection to, to consulting with both of them. I, I
think that,
00:05:48,150 --> 00:05:51,430
that the reason for the difference between Article ten one and
Article ten three
86
00:05:51,770 --> 00:05:56,070
is simply that Article ten three anticipates potential changes
87
00:05:56,300 --> 00:05:58,960
arising from other consents and licenses,
88
00:05:58,960 --> 00:06:01,360
which might be obtained outside of the D C O.
```

```
89
00:06:01,900 --> 00:06:06,440
And those then are being able to be reflected within the detailed
90
00:06:06,630 --> 00:06:11,240
plan that's secured by the requirements so that we don't end up with
a situation
91
00:06:11,240 --> 00:06:13,840
where there's a conflict between the two. So that's,
92
00:06:13,860 --> 00:06:17,680
that's really the purpose behind Article 10. Uh, sorry, uh,
93
00:06:17,680 --> 00:06:21,960
requirement ten three, but as I say, I'm, I'm quite happy, uh,
94
00:06:22,140 --> 00:06:26,040
to insert similar wording within article, uh,
95
00:06:26,040 --> 00:06:29,960
within requirement ten one, uh, around that, uh, obligation to
consult.
96
00:06:30,230 --> 00:06:33,080
Okay. May, maybe we can just have an action point of the,
97
00:06:33,660 --> 00:06:38,080
the local authorities will confirm whether certain parties are
98
00:06:38,080 --> 00:06:41,280
automatically consulted in any event.
00:06:43,110 --> 00:06:43,943
Okay. Thank you.
100
00:06:51,440 --> 00:06:55,580
So, scope of requirement 16, again,
101
00:06:55,580 \longrightarrow 00:06:59,460
natural England suggested the requirement 16 make provision for
```

```
reporting,
102
00:06:59,780 \longrightarrow 00:07:04,260
investigation and remediation of possible water contamination
00:07:04,960 --> 00:07:06,820
as well as land-based contamination,
104
00:07:07,940 --> 00:07:12,360
and I'm just hoping the applicant can give us their view on that.
105
00:07:20,490 --> 00:07:24,560
Thank you, sir. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicants, um, the, uh,
106
00:07:24,740 \longrightarrow 00:07:29,440
the applicant notes the, um, the, the relevant representation
submitted by, uh,
107
00:07:29,440 --> 00:07:33,120
natural England in this regard. And, um, you will note the,
108
00:07:33,140 --> 00:07:34,480
the new requirement 22,
109
00:07:34,480 \longrightarrow 00:07:39,240
that was added to the D C O on the 29th of, of September,
110
00:07:39,980 --> 00:07:43,520
um, which deals with a water quality monitoring plan. Um,
111
00:07:43,520 --> 00:07:46,480
and it's intended that that would deal with, with, with the item
raised by,
112
00:07:46,580 --> 00:07:47,413
by Natural England.
00:07:51,520 \longrightarrow 00:07:54,730
Okay. Have you been in, have you liaised with Natural England and
are they,
```

```
00:07:54,730 --> 00:07:56,650
are they happy with that approach?
115
00:07:57,070 --> 00:08:00,370
The applicant is currently engaging, um, in, in discussions on,
116
00:08:00,430 --> 00:08:04,530
on a draft monitoring plan with the Environment Agency, um,
117
00:08:04,700 --> 00:08:08,810
which it is hoped will be submitted at Deadline one, and that would
also be, um,
118
00:08:09,390 \longrightarrow 00:08:12,810
uh, discussed with Natural England once the Environment Agency is,
is happy.
119
00:08:13,520 --> 00:08:17,170
Okay. No. Okay. Uh,
120
00:08:17,170 --> 00:08:20,490
so maybe I can ask the Environment Agency in that case if they're,
121
00:08:20,830 --> 00:08:22,730
if it's satisfied with that approach.
122
00:08:23,740 --> 00:08:27,370
Hello? Say yes, that is correct. We're having, uh, uh,
conversations.
123
00:08:28,560 --> 00:08:33,510
Okay, thank you. Um,
124
00:08:33,580 --> 00:08:35,790
okay, I'll move on to requirement 17. In that case,
125
00:08:35,800 --> 00:08:39,550
which relates to the decommissioning of the existing wastewater
treatment plant,
126
00:08:40,530 --> 00:08:44,670
as per the definition in Schedule two part one of the draft D C O,
```

```
127
00:08:46,690 --> 00:08:49,270
um, the question is, should there be a requirement for,
128
00:08:50,010 --> 00:08:54,870
and which also details appropriate information for the eventual
decommissioning
129
00:08:54,870 --> 00:08:58,400
of, of the proposed wastewater treatment plant? And if not,
130
00:08:59,580 \longrightarrow 00:09:03,610
would this mean that it could just remain on the site for an
infinite period?
131
00:09:21,220 --> 00:09:26,200
Uh, so Andrew PR from aos, um, the, uh, intention is yes, that
there,
132
00:09:26,200 --> 00:09:29,720
there was no decommissioning plan for the proposed development. Um,
133
00:09:29,720 --> 00:09:33,160
these are long-term developments. The current site's been in
operation,
134
00:09:33,240 --> 00:09:35,320
I believe, for over a hundred years. Um,
135
00:09:35,660 --> 00:09:38,520
and the intention would be that the site would continue to operate
almost
136
00:09:38,520 --> 00:09:39,680
indefinitely, uh,
137
00:09:39,790 --> 00:09:44,360
amended and regulated through the environmental management systems
prescribed
138
00:09:44,360 --> 00:09:48,000
underneath the environmental permits that relate to the sites are
```

```
any future
139
00:09:48,000 \longrightarrow 00:09:52,120
decommissioning in a hundred years time, for example, would be
regulated by the,
140
00:09:52,140 --> 00:09:54,520
the management systems in the environmental permits.
141
00:09:56,620 --> 00:09:59,430
Okay. Could I ask the local authorities whether they, if,
142
00:09:59,430 --> 00:10:02,790
if that's an approach that seems reasonable and appropriate?
143
00:10:07,540 --> 00:10:11,360
So we, we've, we've not asked or con suggested that a a,
144
00:10:11,480 --> 00:10:14,920
a specific decommissioning condition be approved for, for this sort
of skin.
145
00:10:17,560 --> 00:10:18,393
Okay, thank you.
146
00:10:25,040 --> 00:10:28,980
Um, moving on, schedule two, part two, approvals process
clarification.
147
00:10:29,960 --> 00:10:32,500
Um, if useful for that,
00:10:32,560 --> 00:10:37,370
for us to understand how the discharging of any requirements would
work
149
00:10:37,390 --> 00:10:41,250
in practice if they relate to,
150
00:10:42,740 --> 00:10:47,040
say, land within both the administrative administrative areas of
Cambridge City
```

```
151
00:10:48,380 --> 00:10:50,360
and South Cambridge district, for example,
152
00:10:50,730 --> 00:10:54,480
which goes back to the point that you were talking about. And
153
00:10:57,250 --> 00:10:58,400
would, would both,
154
00:10:58,770 --> 00:11:02,880
would all parties be involved in all of those discharging
155
00:11:03,720 --> 00:11:04,880
approvals or how, how would it,
156
00:11:05,760 --> 00:11:09,680
'cause you do talk about the discharging authority or the relevant
local
157
00:11:09,920 --> 00:11:14,340
planning authority. What, so there's two relevant local planning
authorities.
158
00:11:17,940 --> 00:11:20,360
Uh, thank you, sir. I think that's a, that's a point, um,
159
00:11:20,510 --> 00:11:24,320
that we'll discuss further with those, with those relevant planning
authorities.
160
00:11:24,540 --> 00:11:29,110
Um, uh, again, this, there, there are situations on other schemes
where I've,
161
00:11:29,110 --> 00:11:32,910
I've approached it in two ways. Applications go to the, to, to,
162
00:11:32,910 --> 00:11:35,310
to the relevant authority for the area, or alternatively,
163
```

```
00:11:35,310 --> 00:11:39,190
there's been an agreement between those authorities, uh, as, as to
one taking,
164
00:11:39,490 --> 00:11:42,430
uh, one taking the leading consultation with the other. So, uh,
165
00:11:42,430 --> 00:11:44,150
that's a point we'll take forward, uh,
166
00:11:44,150 --> 00:11:46,430
with the local authorities and provide further clarification
167
00:11:46,430 --> 00:11:47,270
On. Okay. Thank you.
168
00:11:47,270 --> 00:11:51,030
And do the local authorities have any sort of preference for that?
169
00:11:51,510 --> 00:11:55,920
N n no. So I mean, we're, we'll, it clearly needs to be clarified
and sorted.
170
00:11:56,270 --> 00:11:58,920
It's my very small point, uh,
171
00:11:59,060 --> 00:12:04,040
was that the way that relevant planning authority is defined
currently only
172
00:12:04,140 --> 00:12:05,120
refers to district,
173
00:12:05,440 --> 00:12:10,240
district planning authority is so clearly there is a wide authority
that
174
00:12:10,740 --> 00:12:13,800
if that, if that relevant, if that definition is to stay,
175
00:12:13,830 --> 00:12:15,120
that needs to be addressed.
```

```
176
00:12:17,480 --> 00:12:18,313
0kay.
177
00:12:34,540 --> 00:12:38,430
Okay. We, we'll put that on the action points list in that case.
178
00:12:39,000 --> 00:12:43,230
Thank you. Um, moving on to Schedule 14 parameters,
179
00:12:46,480 --> 00:12:47,540
uh, throughout the schedule,
180
00:12:47,850 --> 00:12:51,220
it's specified that finished ground levels will be plus or minus,
181
00:12:51,560 --> 00:12:55,220
not 0.5 meters, either side of the maximum parameter,
182
00:12:56,390 --> 00:13:01,340
which for example would be nine and a half meters above ordinance
183
00:13:01,490 --> 00:13:06,460
datu for the terminal pumping station. Um, on this basis, it would,
184
00:13:06,460 --> 00:13:07,780
saying that a maximum parameter
185
00:13:09,740 --> 00:13:14,360
for the terminal pumping station would then be 10 meters above or
00:13:14,360 --> 00:13:17,640
ordinance datum. Um, is that correct? And if so,
187
00:13:17,640 --> 00:13:21,320
would it provide greater clarity to, to present the information in
this way?
188
00:13:26,580 --> 00:13:29,680
Uh, Andrew Pry from else, um, sir, that, that is correct,
```

```
189
00:13:29,780 --> 00:13:34,640
and they're aligned with the parameters that are set out in document
AP033,
190
00:13:34,660 --> 00:13:38,440
the project description, um, we take your point on clarity and
we'll,
191
00:13:38,440 --> 00:13:40,400
we'll have a think in that and read through and see if it,
192
00:13:40,540 --> 00:13:41,680
it could benefit from that.
193
00:13:45,060 --> 00:13:46,440
And I, I guess the other question is,
194
00:13:46,440 --> 00:13:50,160
does the ES assessments take into account that plus minus figure?
195
00:13:50,220 --> 00:13:51,520
Yes, it does, sir. Yeah.
196
00:13:52,390 --> 00:13:54,400
Okay. So we'll make an action point for that.
197
00:13:57,200 --> 00:13:59,300
Uh, moving on to Schedule 17 three.
198
00:14:02,200 --> 00:14:03,033
I'll just find it.
199
00:14:19,130 --> 00:14:19,963
So this
200
00:14:21,230 --> 00:14:24,830
schedule seeks to dply building regulations for all buildings,
however,
201
00:14:25,770 --> 00:14:29,230
the consensus and permits document suggests building regulations
```

```
202
00:14:30,600 --> 00:14:34,470
would be applied for in respect to the gateway building.
203
00:14:35,040 --> 00:14:39,090
Could you just clarify whether the gateway building,
204
00:14:39,520 --> 00:14:43,970
whether you are seeking to dply this for the gateway building as
suggested in
205
00:14:44,090 --> 00:14:45,450
Schedule 17 three please?
206
00:14:48,930 --> 00:14:50,690
I think, uh, that, that,
207
00:14:50,690 --> 00:14:54,000
That pull mail for the applicant, I think there's two points, two
points here.
208
00:14:54,000 --> 00:14:58,640
So first, uh, uh, firstly, the dis application in relation to
schedule, uh,
209
00:14:59,140 --> 00:15:03,600
uh, 17 three, uh, relates for buildings intended, uh, used,
00:15:03,710 --> 00:15:07,920
used or intended for use prior to completion of construction. Um,
211
00:15:09,020 --> 00:15:13,280
so, uh, only, only in that respect, but more ge more generally. Um,
212
00:15:13,380 --> 00:15:15,440
the applicant intends to, uh,
213
00:15:15,750 --> 00:15:19,640
revisit some of these dis applications that are set out in, uh,
214
00:15:20,160 --> 00:15:21,560
```

```
schedule 17 and,
215
00:15:21,700 --> 00:15:25,400
and provide greater explanation and justification more generally as
to why
216
00:15:25,400 --> 00:15:29,200
they're required. And we'll do that, um,
217
00:15:29,940 --> 00:15:34,090
the next deadline, sir. So some of these, uh,
218
00:15:34,090 --> 00:15:38,490
some of these may well be removed or further justification, uh,
219
00:15:38,490 --> 00:15:40,090
given as to why they're required.
220
00:15:41,680 --> 00:15:46,170
Okay. So just,
221
00:15:46,200 --> 00:15:47,690
just so I understand the,
222
00:15:49,710 --> 00:15:52,050
so you're saying this relates to buildings
223
00:15:56,470 --> 00:16:00,690
intended to be used prior to the completion of construction.
224
00:16:01,360 --> 00:16:04,290
What buildings are those temporary buildings, uh,
225
00:16:04,290 --> 00:16:07,010
In Indeed that, those kind of things, sir? Yes, I mean that, that,
226
00:16:07,010 --> 00:16:10,210
that's why what one of the things we want to take away is actually
to,
227
00:16:10,510 --> 00:16:15,330
```

```
to consider more generally, uh, whether in, in this particular
context, uh,
228
00:16:15,360 --> 00:16:18,450
that this application is needed or not. And, uh,
229
00:16:18,450 --> 00:16:20,690
we will provide that clarification at the next deadline.
230
00:16:21,920 --> 00:16:24,770
Okay. Thank you Bill for that on the action list. Thank you.
231
00:16:27,310 --> 00:16:29,090
And Schedule 17 five
232
00:16:31,250 --> 00:16:35,460
relates to section 2 0 8 of the Planning Act 2008,
233
00:16:38,810 --> 00:16:43,310
and this appears to seek to dly the community infrastructure levied
regulations
234
00:16:44,250 --> 00:16:48,590
in respect of all buildings by deeming them ones in which people do
not normally
235
00:16:48,610 --> 00:16:51,470
go or go into only to fix plants or machinery.
236
00:16:52,940 --> 00:16:55,330
Would this include the gateway building? And if so,
237
00:16:55,330 --> 00:16:57,730
please justify the power in respect of it.
238
00:16:59,450 --> 00:17:01,010
A a again, sir, the,
239
00:17:02,510 --> 00:17:06,450
the in the intention is to dply, uh, the community infrastructure,
uh,
```

```
240
00:17:06,450 --> 00:17:10,850
regulations in respect to the development. Um, I think on
reflection, uh,
241
00:17:11,020 --> 00:17:13,890
there may be a more effective way of doing that than the particular
drafting
242
00:17:13,890 --> 00:17:16,850
that you see, uh, within, uh, schedule 17, uh,
243
00:17:17,000 --> 00:17:20,730
five at the moment by characterizing, uh, the buildings in that way,
uh,
244
00:17:20,830 --> 00:17:25,330
we will again revisit that and, and, uh, suspect, propose, um,
245
00:17:25,710 --> 00:17:27,610
uh, refined drafting at the next deadline.
246
00:17:28,550 --> 00:17:30,970
So just so I understand, as it's drafted at the moment,
247
00:17:33,240 --> 00:17:34,810
does that include the gateway building? Yes.
248
00:17:34,810 --> 00:17:36,570
As a building that nobody goes into?
249
00:17:36,830 --> 00:17:37,370
Uh, yes,
00:17:37,370 --> 00:17:41,450
because it deems the building to be a building that nobody goes into
for the
251
00:17:41,450 --> 00:17:43,010
purposes of the sill regulations,
252
00:17:43,010 --> 00:17:46,090
```

```
building.
253
00:17:46,390 --> 00:17:49,360
But I can understand why you, you might look at it and say, well,
254
00:17:49,640 --> 00:17:52,560
actually it shouldn't be characterized in that way in the ordinary
sense of,
255
00:17:52,780 --> 00:17:57,560
of the way you look at these things. But, uh, uh, nevertheless, the,
the point,
256
00:17:57,740 --> 00:18:01,920
the point that we're seeking to achieve is that sill is disa applied
in respect
257
00:18:01,920 --> 00:18:03,760
of the development, and, uh,
258
00:18:04,210 --> 00:18:07,640
there is probably a more effective way of dealing with that than,
259
00:18:07,640 --> 00:18:10,440
than the current proposed wording. And that's why we're going to
revisit it.
260
00:18:10,630 --> 00:18:14,320
Okay. Thank, I'll, I'll just ask a, ask a question as well on that.
Yeah,
261
00:18:14,320 --> 00:18:16,560
I'll just ask the local authorities first. Is that,
262
00:18:17,620 --> 00:18:19,600
is that an approach that you are happy
263
00:18:19,600 --> 00:18:23,400
With? So I'm, I'm going to have to, to take fuller instruction on,
on that. Um,
```

264

and therefore sill would not be engaged in relation to that

```
00:18:23,500 --> 00:18:26,880
it was not an issue that, that, um, we had raised before,
265
00:18:26,980 --> 00:18:28,720
so I will certainly look to discuss,
266
00:18:28,720 --> 00:18:30,120
but I can't give you an answer at the moment.
267
00:18:30,630 --> 00:18:34,680
Okay. So we'll, we'll make a point to put that on the actual list as
well,
268
00:18:35,300 --> 00:18:37,320
But, but certainly dis applying, so rather than,
269
00:18:37,320 --> 00:18:40,040
than this wording is probably be better. That's just my instinct,
270
00:18:40,390 --> 00:18:41,600
Okay. Can
271
00:18:41,600 --> 00:18:43,840
We just come back on the, the gateway building?
272
00:18:44,000 --> 00:18:48,920
I wasn't clear whether you were proposing to exclude that from the
273
00:18:48,920 --> 00:18:50,040
DIS application or not.
274
00:18:56,450 --> 00:19:01,190
The proposal will be that any part of the proposed development does
275
00:19:01,250 --> 00:19:03,070
not fall, um,
276
00:19:04,020 \longrightarrow 00:19:07,110
does not form part of a chargeable development for seal purposes.
```

277

```
00:19:07,210 --> 00:19:11,470
So no SIL will be chargeable upon any part of the, uh,
278
00:19:11,790 --> 00:19:14,670
proposed development, um, because, uh,
279
00:19:15,810 --> 00:19:19,110
the 2010 regulations will be dis supplied in respect of it. That's,
280
00:19:19,110 --> 00:19:21,110
that's what the intention is to try and achieve.
281
00:19:21,970 --> 00:19:24,710
We will look at the precise wording to achieve that end.
282
00:19:24,990 --> 00:19:29,310
I, I haven't seen in the application documentation and do point me
to, to it,
283
00:19:29,310 --> 00:19:30,870
if it is in there, um,
284
00:19:31,210 --> 00:19:35,750
why that's justifiable and how any impacts that would be
285
00:19:36,080 --> 00:19:38,070
dealt with via sil, for example,
00:19:38,090 --> 00:19:41,790
of the office accommodation would be dealt with in the,
287
00:19:42,410 --> 00:19:43,430
in this application?
288
00:19:44,060 --> 00:19:46,950
Well, uh, certainly, so we'll take, we'll take that one away. Uh,
00:19:46,950 --> 00:19:48,790
we'll provide the justification. Uh,
290
00:19:48,860 --> 00:19:51,990
```

```
it's a very common provision that you'll see in relation, um, uh,
291
00:19:51,990 --> 00:19:55,710
to most D C O applications. So again, we'll provide reference, uh,
uh, to that.
292
00:19:55,770 --> 00:19:57,590
And, uh, I understand the point if, if
293
00:19:57,590 --> 00:19:58,630
You could and, um,
00:19:59,480 --> 00:20:00,190
Spoke,
295
00:20:00,190 --> 00:20:04,470
I suppose most applications necessarily have a visitor center and
office space.
00:20:04,500 --> 00:20:04,890
Yeah,
297
00:20:04,890 --> 00:20:09,670
That's the point. I was just about to, to ask for further
justification on that.
298
00:20:09,770 --> 00:20:13,630
Um, generally they are infrastructure works rather than office
buildings,
299
00:20:14,290 --> 00:20:18,710
But we'll also live that with the local authorities to think about
as well.
300
00:20:19,570 --> 00:20:22,350
So yes, I, I, I, I need to go and speak to the,
301
00:20:22,350 \longrightarrow 00:20:25,110
the charging authorities as opposed to the, the the, so yeah. Thank
you.
00:20:25,110 --> 00:20:26,150
```

```
Thank you very much. Uh,
303
00:20:26,940 --> 00:20:29,870
Just if I could come back and make a final point on it. I mean, you,
you,
304
00:20:29,870 --> 00:20:31,830
you might be right in respect to the large number of, uh,
305
00:20:31,830 --> 00:20:33,470
infrastructure projects, but certainly for example,
306
00:20:33,490 --> 00:20:36,150
in relation to strategic rail freight interchange, uh,
307
00:20:36,500 --> 00:20:38,710
dcos still is commonly excluded in relation, uh,
308
00:20:38,710 --> 00:20:40,910
to those types of developments as well. And again, we'll,
309
00:20:40,910 \longrightarrow 00:20:42,150
we'll provide details of precedence
310
00:20:42,250 --> 00:20:44,630
If you could provide, if there are some examples,
311
00:20:44,700 --> 00:20:48,640
provide details of how they might be comparable to this situation.
312
00:20:48,710 --> 00:20:49,320
Certainly,
313
00:20:49,320 \longrightarrow 00:20:50,153
Thank you.
314
00:20:52,570 --> 00:20:55,950
But there, there is no, forgive me, I I had not really tweaked this.
315
00:20:56,240 --> 00:20:58,880
There is no still, um,
```

```
316
00:20:59,680 --> 00:21:01,800
schedule that's adopted in Cambridge,
317
00:21:01,900 --> 00:21:06,880
so I suppose it would be just applying it in the future if there was
to
318
00:21:06,880 --> 00:21:07,713
be one.
319
00:21:09,190 --> 00:21:13,440
Okay. Maybe you can just clarify the situation. Um,
320
00:21:14,780 --> 00:21:16,970
sorry, just on clear deadline one, sorry,
321
00:21:17,430 --> 00:21:18,490
On that point then, is
322
00:21:18,490 --> 00:21:20,610
There a, a section 1 0 6 tariff
323
00:21:20,610 --> 00:21:23,570
In operation in Cambridge. Thank you.
00:21:31,120 --> 00:21:35,340
So there is a, a tar process, but um, we will
325
00:21:35,450 --> 00:21:38,060
Have sure. Details
326
00:21:38,120 --> 00:21:38,953
To whether
327
00:21:39,450 --> 00:21:40,820
Well, we'll note that
328
00:21:40,820 --> 00:21:43,660
As an action point, I think for both parties. Thank you.
```

```
329
00:21:46,170 --> 00:21:49,780
Okay, thank you. I'll move on to the next point,
330
00:21:49,780 --> 00:21:52,740
which is environmental management system. Um,
331
00:21:53,560 --> 00:21:56,620
so an environmental management system is referred to in, for
example,
332
00:21:58,250 --> 00:22:00,330
ES chapter 21, major accidents and disasters,
333
00:22:01,720 --> 00:22:05,050
paragraph 2.7 0.21. Could you please clarify what this is,
334
00:22:05,240 --> 00:22:09,450
it's content and whether it's secured in the D C O or if not,
335
00:22:09,450 --> 00:22:11,650
whether it needs securing in the D C 0?
336
00:22:13,660 --> 00:22:17,280
Uh, uh, thank you, sir. The environmental management system, uh, is,
337
00:22:17,380 --> 00:22:20,800
is one of those documents, um, that's, uh,
338
00:22:21,900 --> 00:22:26,120
to be secured through, uh, the environmental permitting, uh, regime.
Um,
339
00:22:26,580 --> 00:22:30,640
and indeed, I understand that when one makes an application for a
permit,
340
00:22:30,710 --> 00:22:34,080
what you have to do is to, uh, set out the,
341
00:22:34,500 --> 00:22:38,800
the proposed measures that are to be incorporated, uh, within, uh,
```

```
342
00:22:38,800 --> 00:22:43,400
that management system. And then that management system, uh, is, uh,
343
00:22:43,580 --> 00:22:47,160
is secured by means of a standard condition, um, on, on the permit.
344
00:22:47,300 --> 00:22:50,520
So that's something, um, as, as, as we come to when we,
00:22:50,520 --> 00:22:53,480
when we discuss perhaps other consents and licenses, uh,
346
00:22:53,510 --> 00:22:56,000
that we'll be able to confirm what the status of each of those
permit
347
00:22:56,000 --> 00:22:59,400
applications are, but that, that's the vehicle for delivering that,
348
00:22:59,550 --> 00:23:00,760
that particular mitigation.
349
00:23:04,340 --> 00:23:06,670
Okay, thank you. Can I ask Mr. Ben if he,
00:23:06,730 --> 00:23:09,470
if that's how he understands it as well,
351
00:23:09,470 --> 00:23:11,670
then it doesn't need to be secured through the D C O,
352
00:23:14,090 --> 00:23:16,630
Little Ben and Barbara? Yes, that's fine.
353
00:23:18,140 --> 00:23:18,990
Okay. Thank you.
354
00:23:20,410 --> 00:23:23,740
Do you have any questions on that? That's okay.
```

```
355
00:23:37,790 --> 00:23:41,320
Okay. And then just the final bullet point here,
356
00:23:41,420 --> 00:23:46,200
so consistency of manage management plan naming in ES and in the D C
357
00:23:47,900 --> 00:23:51,520
Um, can we ask please that there is a consistency with the naming of
management
358
00:23:51,520 --> 00:23:56,160
plans within the ES chapters and within the D C O D draft,
359
00:23:56,280 --> 00:23:59,320
D C O. And to check these, for example,
360
00:24:00,720 --> 00:24:04,480
E ES chapter 19 transport, which is a S oh three eight,
361
00:24:05,420 --> 00:24:09,920
refers to an operational traffic management plan. And ES chapter
two,
362
00:24:10,930 --> 00:24:15,040
which is a P P oh three four, refers to a green travel plan.
However,
00:24:15,040 --> 00:24:18,720
neither of these documents appear to be mentioned in the draft D C
0.
364
00:24:20,230 --> 00:24:23,840
There's, there's, there are others, but I'm not, I won't list 'em
all.
365
00:24:23,860 --> 00:24:28,360
So it would be helpful if you could do a check and just ensure
consistency
366
00:24:28,870 --> 00:24:30,640
between naming of documents. Please.
```

```
367
00:24:33,110 --> 00:24:37,170
Uh, thank you sir. Paul, may for the applicant, uh, more than happy,
uh,
368
00:24:37,170 --> 00:24:38,490
more than happy to do that and, and,
369
00:24:38,510 --> 00:24:43,210
and obviously recognize the importance of that consistency.
Consistency. Um, my,
370
00:24:43,430 --> 00:24:44,930
uh, question is, uh,
371
00:24:45,310 --> 00:24:50,170
how you would like any inconsistencies potentially to be addressed?
Um, because,
372
00:24:50,950 --> 00:24:51,783
uh,
373
00:24:52,340 --> 00:24:56,890
there could be the possibility of submitting updated chapters in
their entirety,
374
00:24:57,630 --> 00:25:00,610
uh, with, uh, with the correct references, uh,
375
00:25:00,610 --> 00:25:05,170
throughout as track changes that might be considered potentially a
bit of a
376
00:25:05,250 --> 00:25:07,490
sledgehammer to crack a nut in terms of the, uh,
377
00:25:07,490 --> 00:25:10,690
the volume of documentation then submitted. Alternatively,
378
00:25:10,690 --> 00:25:14,930
it may be possible to submit an errata sheet, which details, um,
```

```
379
00:25:15,350 --> 00:25:18,250
all of those, uh, changes such that they might exist and,
380
00:25:18,270 --> 00:25:22,410
and clarifying what actually the correct references should be in
each case. Uh,
381
00:25:22,530 --> 00:25:23,650
I dunno, which you prefer. Yeah,
382
00:25:23,690 --> 00:25:27,970
I think probably an ar ata sheet would be more thank you would be
preferable.
383
00:25:28,110 --> 00:25:32,160
Um, I suppose with the change request, you, you, there's a,
384
00:25:32,180 --> 00:25:35,260
an addendum to the es,
385
00:25:35,520 --> 00:25:38,660
you might want to depend going forward. Look, look,
386
00:25:38,850 --> 00:25:42,820
whether you want to update that or have a completely different
errata list,
387
00:25:42,890 --> 00:25:43,300
it's up
388
00:25:43,300 --> 00:25:45,340
To you indeed. So we'll give that consideration. Thank you.
389
00:25:47,610 --> 00:25:50,780
Okay, thank you. Um,
390
00:25:51,240 --> 00:25:55,580
has anybody else got any comments that we should make on anything
we've talked
00:25:55,700 --> 00:26:00,180
```

```
about so far before I go back, go onto the protective provisions?
Yes, please.
392
00:26:01,280 --> 00:26:02,940
Yes, sir. Right. I mean, I,
393
00:26:03,060 --> 00:26:07,380
I raised one or two points as you were going through, but where they
seem to be
394
00:26:08,980 --> 00:26:11,700
separate from the points that you were making, I think it's probably
easier if,
395
00:26:11,840 --> 00:26:16,580
if, if, if we take our, um, points now in relation to the,
396
00:26:16,600 --> 00:26:17,940
to the order rather than
397
00:26:18,740 --> 00:26:19,660
Yep, that's fine. Yes, thank you.
00:26:20,920 --> 00:26:23,100
Um, we did,
399
00:26:23,420 --> 00:26:27,390
I think so deal clearly with the question of, um,
400
00:26:30,460 --> 00:26:34,270
yeah, we've, we've, we've dealt with the question of Article
13. Um,
401
00:26:34,890 --> 00:26:36,070
we do have a,
402
00:26:36,230 --> 00:26:40,510
a particular concern about the way in which Article six,
403
00:26:41,250 --> 00:26:43,110
um, works. Um,
```

```
404
00:26:44,180 --> 00:26:47,480
and this is the question of whether it's reasonable, um,
405
00:26:48,340 --> 00:26:52,760
to allow a two meter upward deviation for some of those works that
406
00:26:53,340 --> 00:26:57,320
um, defined effectively by their sections. Um,
407
00:26:57,420 --> 00:27:00,880
if you take the water beach pipeline, for example, it,
408
00:27:01,340 --> 00:27:04,760
it runs close to the surface, um,
409
00:27:05,380 --> 00:27:09,080
as shown on the sections, um, within the two meter, um,
410
00:27:10,310 --> 00:27:13,600
deviation that was being proposed in Article six. Um,
411
00:27:14,740 --> 00:27:19,560
and a similar situation arises with the main effluent outfall
pipeline, um,
412
00:27:19,930 --> 00:27:23,640
where it runs close to the surface in places as shown on the
sections.
413
00:27:24,380 --> 00:27:27,760
So the question, I think, serve for the applicant to, um,
414
00:27:28,310 --> 00:27:32,840
address is whether it's, it's reasonable to, to maintain that, um,
415
00:27:33,190 --> 00:27:36,580
that upward deviation, um, of two meters,
416
00:27:36,580 --> 00:27:38,340
particularly in Article six D
```

```
417
00:27:40,480 --> 00:27:41,740
and after six
418
00:27:41,780 --> 00:27:46,420
C. So, okay, so for example, so the outfall is work number
419
00:27:48,080 --> 00:27:52,060
30, what's work number 32? Is it?
420
00:27:54,510 --> 00:27:55,800
Yeah, it is 32.
421
00:27:55,950 --> 00:28:00,280
Okay. So you're saying if work number 32 deviated two meters upward,
422
00:28:01,300 --> 00:28:04,820
it would be higher than ground level? Yeah,
423
00:28:04,820 --> 00:28:07,700
There are two, two separate issues there actually with the, with
the,
424
00:28:07,700 --> 00:28:12,060
with the outfall. One is the pipeline itself, where it's going to be
covered by,
425
00:28:12,280 --> 00:28:16,260
um, presumably restored soil to the existing ground level. Mm-hmm.
426
00:28:16,400 --> 00:28:20,420
And there's a second question which arises around the outfall
structure itself,
427
00:28:21,040 --> 00:28:24,940
um, which is shown in the design plans as a, a low rise, um,
428
00:28:25,030 --> 00:28:29,780
800 millimeter high structure running along parallel to the
riverbank. Um,
```

429

```
00:28:29,930 --> 00:28:33,860
clearly to allow that to upwardly deviate by two meters is a very,
430
00:28:33,860 --> 00:28:35,460
very different structure. Yeah.
431
00:28:35,730 --> 00:28:38,980
Okay. Thank you. Can the applicant respond to that, please?
432
00:28:41,160 --> 00:28:44,260
And would, would that, would those I suppose,
433
00:28:44,320 --> 00:28:47,940
limits of deviation allow for that type of work to happen?
434
00:28:50,070 --> 00:28:52,310
I will take it away, sir, and consider the point in detail,
435
00:28:52,310 --> 00:28:56,310
because what it obviously requires is, um,
436
00:28:56,450 --> 00:29:01,030
an interrogation of the limits of deviation against the, uh,
437
00:29:01,930 --> 00:29:06,110
extent of the development that's then assessed in the ES and an
interaction with
438
00:29:06,110 --> 00:29:09,750
the parameters that are, that are set out in, in, in, in, in
schedule 14.
00:29:09,750 --> 00:29:12,830
So there are, there are a number of restrictions that come into play
there.
440
00:29:13,270 --> 00:29:17,470
I I certainly take the point that's been raised by, by, by say,
honey Hill, and,
441
00:29:17,770 --> 00:29:19,630
uh, I,
```

```
442
00:29:19,790 --> 00:29:23,550
I guess I'm saying it's not the intention to enable the Water Beach
pipeline to
443
00:29:23,550 --> 00:29:26,510
be built two meters above ground clearly, if, if,
444
00:29:26,570 --> 00:29:28,950
if that's the logical conclusion of the point. So yeah,
445
00:29:29,270 --> 00:29:31,710
I suppose if the D C O allows for that though, that's probably not.
446
00:29:32,060 --> 00:29:36,230
Well indeed, we, I, I, okay. I don't want to give a definitive
answer now.
447
00:29:36,260 --> 00:29:38,790
I'll just make sure that that's not the case and, and, and explain.
448
00:29:39,380 --> 00:29:40,870
Okay. So we'll put that as an action point
449
00:29:40,890 --> 00:29:43,000
For the applicant as well. Yeah, I, I think that's acceptable,
Sarah.
450
00:29:43,150 --> 00:29:45,760
I've got a list of those points and I'll, uh, liaise Mr.
451
00:29:45,790 --> 00:29:49,000
Male so that he takes them Okay. Them away.
452
00:29:49,340 --> 00:29:53,040
In regard to article six, um,
00:29:55,580 --> 00:29:57,600
we have previously, sir, in, in, um,
454
00:29:58,680 --> 00:30:01,760
```

```
relevant representations raise the issue, um,
455
00:30:01,950 --> 00:30:06,720
that relates to the lateral separation between the Water Beach
pipeline and the
456
00:30:06,960 --> 00:30:10,960
transfer tunnel, um, just to the west of Horing Sea Road, um,
457
00:30:12,130 --> 00:30:15,640
where I think if we were on the works plans, um,
458
00:30:15,980 --> 00:30:20,080
it would be sheet three of the works plans, which I think is a p P
17.
459
00:30:20,570 --> 00:30:22,720
Could somebody call that data please? Thank you.
460
00:30:59,600 --> 00:31:00,433
Sorry, Sarah.
461
00:31:01,090 --> 00:31:01,890
Um,
462
00:31:01,890 --> 00:31:04,270
Sophie Stevens for the applicant. Can we just ask in,
00:31:04,270 --> 00:31:07,990
can you confirm that that's the correct sheet that you're making
reference to
464
00:31:07,990 --> 00:31:09,750
that we're sharing on screen now? Yes,
465
00:31:09,810 --> 00:31:12,750
It is. Sorry, is it not sheet three?
466
00:31:14,600 --> 00:31:18,790
Thank you. Um, yeah, so you'll, you'll see if you look at
sheet three,
```

```
467
00:31:19,700 --> 00:31:22,070
there's the alignment for the transfer tile, which is the,
468
00:31:22,090 --> 00:31:25,310
the blue dashed line running across, um,
469
00:31:26,050 --> 00:31:29,950
the land to the west of Hoing Sea Road and then deviating slightly
and heading
470
00:31:30,010 --> 00:31:31,630
for the center of the works. Um,
471
00:31:32,250 --> 00:31:37,230
the alignment for the water beach pipeline effectively comes through
472
00:31:37,230 --> 00:31:40,680
that, that red, um, striped,
473
00:31:42,240 --> 00:31:46,360
vertical striped area along crosses Horing Sea Road,
474
00:31:47,830 --> 00:31:50,680
runs through the orange dog leg area,
475
00:31:51,100 --> 00:31:55,040
and then parallels the work, the, um, the transfer tunnel.
476
00:31:56,230 --> 00:31:57,440
There's never really said,
477
00:31:57,440 --> 00:32:00,400
been a satisfactory explanation as to why it needs to take that
southern,
478
00:32:00,710 --> 00:32:02,280
that southerly deviation.
479
00:32:02,310 --> 00:32:07,120
It's clearly acceptable to the applicant to have it in close
proximity to the
```

```
480
00:32:07,360 --> 00:32:09,520
transfer tunnel further to the west. Um,
481
00:32:10,020 --> 00:32:13,200
and it's not been adequately explained as to why it cannot,
482
00:32:13,900 --> 00:32:18,800
it cannot be mo those works cannot mo be moved northwards by
approximately
483
00:32:18,800 --> 00:32:22,240
a hundred meters and taken, taken parallel to, um,
484
00:32:23,950 --> 00:32:28,030
parallel to the transfer tile. I mean, as, as in,
485
00:32:28,250 --> 00:32:32,550
as shown there, sir, one of the, one of the implications of that is
that that,
486
00:32:32,650 --> 00:32:34,990
um, the limits, the,
487
00:32:35,050 --> 00:32:37,910
the order limits will extend up to and include a,
488
00:32:38,230 --> 00:32:41,960
a quite substantial hedgerow that runs along that, um,
489
00:32:42,360 --> 00:32:44,120
southern boundary of the land. Um,
00:32:44,300 --> 00:32:47,640
it would certainly be unsatisfactory for that to be removed in the
course of the
491
00:32:47,640 --> 00:32:50,040
works. Um, and more generally, it,
492
00:32:50,140 --> 00:32:54,520
```

```
it occupies a much larger area of agricultural land, albeit
temporarily, um,
493
00:32:54,790 --> 00:32:57,880
than is necessary, um, for the water beach pipeline.
494
00:33:02,450 --> 00:33:05,220
Okay. Would the applicant like to respond to that,
495
00:33:07,040 --> 00:33:08,100
the agricultural,
496
00:33:10,660 \longrightarrow 00:33:14,440
so that that won't be reverted back to agricultural land? As I
understand, it's,
497
00:33:14,510 --> 00:33:15,343
it's, it's, uh,
498
00:33:15,890 --> 00:33:20,040
it'll be land for the landscaping and screening planting I,
499
00:33:21,710 --> 00:33:23,890
In, in detail. That's the, the land colored green?
500
00:33:26,740 --> 00:33:29,840
No, sir. We're, we're looking entirely so on, on,
00:33:29,860 --> 00:33:33,600
on to the land on to the west of the, uh, of the Hing Sea Road,
502
00:33:33,600 --> 00:33:35,520
which runs north south across this plant.
503
00:33:35,980 --> 00:33:39,960
So the land we're concerned about is the orange colored area,
504
00:33:41,690 --> 00:33:43,990
um, which is sort of dog leg. Um,
505
00:33:44,010 --> 00:33:47,670
```

```
and it's that dog leg that the water beach pipeline takes, um,
506
00:33:48,690 --> 00:33:51,440
completely unnecessarily in my view, sir. Um,
00:33:51,780 --> 00:33:55,400
and it's a matter that we've never satisfactorily had explained by
the applicant
508
00:33:55,400 --> 00:33:56,400
as whether there are
509
00:33:57,950 --> 00:34:01,640
very good construction or engineering reasons. Um, why,
510
00:34:02,140 --> 00:34:06,120
why that pipeline can't be moved approximately 50 to a hundred
meters to the
511
00:34:06,120 --> 00:34:06,953
north,
512
00:34:10,850 --> 00:34:14,630
Um, sir and Andrew prior from Aoss. My, my understanding is,
513
00:34:14,630 --> 00:34:19,630
is that the deep tunnel, the blue dash, um, doesn't impact land at
all.
514
00:34:19,890 --> 00:34:23,590
So that can take a direct route. The pipeline,
515
00:34:23,590 --> 00:34:25,870
because of the shallow, uh,
516
00:34:25,970 --> 00:34:30,910
cut and fill approach is far more, um, invasive into the land,
517
00:34:31,090 --> 00:34:34,870
and that route has been followed to minimize impacts on the holding,
518
```

```
00:34:35,530 --> 00:34:36,710
not sever the holding,
519
00:34:37,170 --> 00:34:41,030
and to follow some of the natural features of the landscape. So
that, um,
520
00:34:41,370 --> 00:34:45,630
dog leg to the southwest following the orange line West,
521
00:34:45,730 --> 00:34:50,630
and then becoming parallel with the deep transfer tunnel
522
00:34:50,630 \longrightarrow 00:34:55,310
route has been done to minimize impacts on the environment that, uh,
uh,
523
00:34:55,310 --> 00:34:59,950
that loop in orange is to follow the field boundaries and
524
00:34:59,970 --> 00:35:00,870
reduce impacts.
525
00:35:01,860 --> 00:35:02,450
0kay.
526
00:35:02,450 --> 00:35:05,630
And, and, and Poplar Hall and the residents of Poplar Hall.
527
00:35:06,470 --> 00:35:07,360
Okay. Does,
528
00:35:07,360 --> 00:35:11,240
does that provide you with a reasonable explanation for the reasons
for its
529
00:35:11,730 --> 00:35:12,390
route?
530
00:35:12,390 --> 00:35:16,360
It's a partial explanation, sir. We'll take it away and if,
```

```
531
00:35:16,460 --> 00:35:20,080
if necessary, make further, um, representations in the written reps.
532
00:35:20,350 --> 00:35:21,183
Okay, thank you.
533
00:35:26,500 --> 00:35:28,480
Um, sorry, was that everything you had?
534
00:35:28,780 --> 00:35:30,680
No, unfortunately not. Okay. Um,
535
00:35:32,760 --> 00:35:36,130
perhaps I'd like to take you, sir to articles 23 to 25
536
00:35:38,020 --> 00:35:38,853
in the order.
537
00:36:00,740 --> 00:36:04,180
I am conscious, sir, that obviously it's, it's taking a long time in
hearing to,
538
00:36:04,360 --> 00:36:05,193
um,
539
00:36:05,250 --> 00:36:10,100
deal with some points which might be better taken as drafting
points, um,
540
00:36:10,330 --> 00:36:14,460
between us and, and the applicant. Um,
541
00:36:15,380 --> 00:36:20,300
I don't really want to read out a lot of after Monkey Putin Mouse
sort
542
00:36:20,300 --> 00:36:23,700
of stuff. Um, but there are, I mean,
543
00:36:23,760 --> 00:36:28,500
the pri the principles that we're concerned about pursuing is making
```

```
sure that
544
00:36:28,670 --> 00:36:29,503
there are,
545
00:36:29,670 --> 00:36:34,220
there is no let out through the order to allow, um,
546
00:36:34,760 --> 00:36:38,980
the applicant to remove hedges that are not specified in Schedule
16,
547
00:36:39,150 --> 00:36:41,860
which is not, of course how it's drafted. Um,
548
00:36:43,250 --> 00:36:47,630
and based on my experience of implementing, um, particularly
parliamentary acts,
549
00:36:47,630 --> 00:36:51,510
but it's the same, the same kind of conditions applied, um,
550
00:36:51,530 --> 00:36:53,670
to transform works act orders. Um,
551
00:36:54,480 --> 00:36:59,190
there is a problem with the use of the phrase in Article 23 1,
00:36:59,610 --> 00:37:04,590
uh, near any part of the authorized development, which authorizes
the,
553
00:37:04,890 --> 00:37:09,630
um, on the face of it authorizes the applicant to, um,
554
00:37:10,010 \longrightarrow 00:37:14,790
cut down trees on land, which is, which are outside order limits.
Um,
555
00:37:15,370 --> 00:37:19,430
and that has been a practical, um, significant practical difficulty
in,
```

```
556
00:37:19,610 \longrightarrow 00:37:24,590
in implementing certainly was the HSS two act, um, which led to
enormous,
557
00:37:24,850 --> 00:37:28,830
um, disputes with landowners, for example, where yeah,
558
00:37:29,180 --> 00:37:32,430
adjoining landowners were finding their trees being removed and the,
559
00:37:32,530 --> 00:37:37,190
the act allows Okay. Use the near any part, um, provision. Um,
560
00:37:37,660 --> 00:37:38,910
Does the second part of that though,
561
00:37:38,910 --> 00:37:42,990
where it says if it reasonably believes it to be necessary to
prevent
562
00:37:43,270 --> 00:37:45,070
obstruction or interference? Well,
563
00:37:45,110 --> 00:37:49,350
I guess the question, sir, is, is, is what is reasonable? I mean,
it's,
564
00:37:49,350 --> 00:37:52,590
it's a contractor being told that he's got the powers to go and cut
that tree
565
00:37:52,590 --> 00:37:55,030
down. Is it reasonable or not? Um,
566
00:37:55,740 --> 00:38:00,450
it's far better to limit the cutting down powers to land within
order
00:38:00,450 --> 00:38:01,283
limits,
```

```
568
00:38:07,400 --> 00:38:11,420
But there may be instances where something, say overhangs the
derland and
569
00:38:13,040 --> 00:38:14,220
you know, where does the order land?
570
00:38:14,220 --> 00:38:16,220
Where should you have the boundary of the derland?
571
00:38:16,220 --> 00:38:19,340
Should you include people's gardens, for example?
572
00:38:19,720 --> 00:38:22,140
And probably not. Yeah. But there,
573
00:38:22,140 --> 00:38:23,300
There are, there are, there are,
574
00:38:23,300 --> 00:38:27,220
there are difficulties in certain circumstances, but it shouldn't,
575
00:38:27,760 --> 00:38:30,660
the order shouldn't elect give the power to, um,
576
00:38:30,800 --> 00:38:35,400
the applicant to fell trees that are not on land that it owns or has
577
00:38:35,800 --> 00:38:36,633
acquired.
578
00:38:38,010 --> 00:38:40,900
Okay. Would the applicant like to briefly respond to that?
579
00:38:42,880 --> 00:38:46,900
Uh, Thanks sir Paul mail for the applicant, um,
580
00:38:47,210 --> 00:38:51,180
article 23 is, is, is a fairly standard provision, uh,
581
```

```
00:38:51,520 --> 00:38:55,460
in most made doos in the terms in which it is stated. Um,
582
00:38:55,960 --> 00:38:57,620
and the important point about, uh,
583
00:38:57,680 --> 00:39:01,980
the articles is that they're designed in order to, uh,
584
00:39:02,250 --> 00:39:04,580
give sufficient powers, uh,
00:39:04,960 --> 00:39:09,420
to an undertaker in order to implement the scheme, uh, for which,
uh,
586
00:39:09,610 --> 00:39:14,220
consent is granted. Uh, it's not in the applicant's view, uh,
587
00:39:14,850 --> 00:39:16,940
correct to, uh,
588
00:39:16,950 --> 00:39:21,220
start imposing limitations on the generality of the order of, of,
589
00:39:21,280 --> 00:39:25,700
of the article provision, uh, through detailed drafting in the
article itself.
590
00:39:26,440 --> 00:39:27,780
Uh, you know, were,
591
00:39:27,780 --> 00:39:31,220
were particular consideration needs to be given to mitigation
measures around
592
00:39:31,220 --> 00:39:33,740
the operation of the article. That's something that could be
considered,
593
00:39:33,760 --> 00:39:37,620
but that would be the vehicle I would suggest that is put forward in
```

```
any, uh,
594
00:39:37,980 --> 00:39:39,180
representations that, that,
00:39:39,250 --> 00:39:43,100
that save Honey Hill may wish to make on that subject. And, and
indeed, we'll,
596
00:39:43,100 --> 00:39:44,460
we can then respond accordingly. Okay.
597
00:39:44,460 --> 00:39:47,460
And maybe you could provide examples of where such a, um,
598
00:39:47,570 --> 00:39:50,460
article is in other made dcos as well.
599
00:39:50,880 \longrightarrow 00:39:51,713
Indeed.
600
00:39:56,040 --> 00:39:59,340
It may better as be, sir if we, we, we take this outside, um,
601
00:39:59,520 --> 00:40:03,500
and I'll discuss with, um, Mr. May the other, the other concerns
that we have,
00:40:03,500 --> 00:40:06,460
which are around, um, 23 4, um,
603
00:40:07,200 \longrightarrow 00:40:11,300
and we can see whether we can agree, I'm, I'm fully aware as he is
that,
604
00:40:11,300 \longrightarrow 00:40:15,260
that these are model or standard conditions that have been, um,
605
00:40:15,280 --> 00:40:18,700
or standard articles that have been included in other orders. Um,
606
```

```
00:40:19,490 --> 00:40:22,740
they do give rise to difficulties and there is at the moment, for
example,
607
00:40:23,200 --> 00:40:27,460
no provision in mitigation or schedule listing,
608
00:40:28,320 --> 00:40:29,580
for example, trees,
609
00:40:29,580 --> 00:40:33,380
which they may not be allowed to touch outside the order boundaries
because
610
00:40:33,530 --> 00:40:38,500
clearly that hasn't been brought up as a, a potential potential
mitigation.
611
00:40:38,500 \longrightarrow 00:40:41,340
Clearly, it's also a matter of detail, but I will take it up with
Mr. May.
612
00:40:43,450 --> 00:40:47,980
Okay. Thank you. Um, we have Mr.
613
00:40:48,160 --> 00:40:50,940
Ben as well who would like, oh, sorry, was that,
614
00:40:51,310 --> 00:40:52,460
Sorry, sir. Um,
615
00:40:52,870 --> 00:40:54,500
Sorry, when I thought you said you'd taken it without,
616
00:40:54,500 --> 00:40:55,180
I thought you meant points,
617
00:40:55,180 \longrightarrow 00:40:59,660
Points, I meant, I meant Article 23, 23, 24, 25. Um,
618
00:41:00,720 --> 00:41:03,500
if we get to Article 35, sir, um,
```

```
619
00:41:05,030 --> 00:41:09,630
and I'll take this as briefly as I can, um, it's,
620
00:41:09,630 --> 00:41:13,470
it's a general concern, um, which had been expressed by, um,
621
00:41:13,730 --> 00:41:17,390
one or two people who have land directly involved in the, in the
order.
622
00:41:17,450 --> 00:41:21,190
And that's the application of, of Article 35 2. Um,
623
00:41:22,110 --> 00:41:26,550
14 day notice for temporary possession is often impracticable, um,
624
00:41:26,810 --> 00:41:31,150
for people who are occupying and using land. Um, it's a very, very
short period.
625
00:41:31,330 --> 00:41:35,360
Now, if Mr. Mayer wishes to argue that that has appeared in other
orders,
626
00:41:35,640 --> 00:41:40,080
I I accept that it has, um, but it is in practice an extremely,
627
00:41:40,740 --> 00:41:43,960
um, onerous requirement on people who are occupying land.
628
00:41:55,170 --> 00:41:56,003
0kay.
629
00:42:00,640 --> 00:42:01,770
Just bear with me a second.
00:42:03,680 \longrightarrow 00:42:06,610
Well, I, I think the article says what it says there. I mean, I, I
don't,
631
```

```
00:42:06,650 --> 00:42:08,650
I don't think I can say any more than that. I mean, I, I,
632
00:42:08,770 --> 00:42:11,970
I think generally in terms of the points that are made, uh, being
made by Save,
633
00:42:12,080 --> 00:42:14,370
save, save Honey Hill, I think, um,
634
00:42:14,510 --> 00:42:18,890
it would certainly be helpful to us perhaps if they could be put in,
635
00:42:19,110 --> 00:42:21,010
in writing in, in,
636
00:42:21,030 --> 00:42:24,690
in their written representation and then we can consider properly
and respond to
637
00:42:24,690 --> 00:42:29,570
each of them, um, each of them in turn. Um, and we're obviously,
638
00:42:29,570 --> 00:42:29,890
we're quite,
639
00:42:29,890 --> 00:42:33,050
we're quite happy to deal so 'cause we seem to be dealing with
matters of,
640
00:42:33,050 --> 00:42:34,290
of detailed drafting.
641
00:42:40,590 --> 00:42:43,940
Would that be an app, a sensible approach, Mr. Gilda,
642
00:42:43,940 --> 00:42:45,820
or would you like to run through your list?
643
00:42:46,520 --> 00:42:49,820
No, I'm, I'm, I'm prepared, sir, to, to present
```

```
644
00:42:51,380 --> 00:42:52,610
a detailed, um,
645
00:42:53,230 --> 00:42:57,130
set of recommendations or things that I would like to see changed in
the order,
646
00:42:57,470 --> 00:43:01,530
um, directly to the applicants. Um, I don't know. So whether you
want to,
647
00:43:01,950 --> 00:43:06,170
to leave that until the written representations go in and deadline
one or
648
00:43:06,170 --> 00:43:10,530
whether to, it would be more appropriate to look at certain parts of
the order,
649
00:43:10,710 --> 00:43:15,400
um, between ourselves, um, in advance of that.
650
00:43:16,500 \longrightarrow 00:43:19,430
Yeah. Were, were these points raised in your relevant
representation?
651
00:43:19,570 --> 00:43:21,310
The specific detail of the drafting
652
00:43:21,310 --> 00:43:24,990
Of the pco? No, the, the general, the general points were
raised, so,
653
00:43:24,990 --> 00:43:28,030
but not, not suggestions as to how they might be overcome.
654
00:43:28,210 --> 00:43:32,830
And we've also now so seen quite a, a significant change to the, um,
655
00:43:33,070 --> 00:43:35,030
drafting of the requirements. Um,
```

```
656
00:43:35,370 --> 00:43:39,670
and there are a number of issues in relation to how the requirements
are are set
657
00:43:39,730 --> 00:43:43,870
out, um, which we want to, to raise with the applicants. Um,
658
00:43:44,960 --> 00:43:45,430
0kay.
659
00:43:45,430 --> 00:43:49,170
And there are also so, um, matters which though Mr.
660
00:43:49,620 --> 00:43:54,250
Fryer dismissed them as being necessary. Um, there are matters in
Schedule one,
661
00:43:54,630 --> 00:43:58,330
um, in the way that the parameters are labeled and, and,
662
00:43:58,390 --> 00:44:00,370
and set out in that schedule. So, which,
663
00:44:00,500 --> 00:44:03,770
which to be absolutely honest do not work. Um,
00:44:03,870 --> 00:44:06,490
and we need to take that up with the applicant. Okay.
665
00:44:06,650 --> 00:44:09,010
I think because they,
666
00:44:09,040 --> 00:44:13,690
they were kind of not raised specifically then I've not looked to
address 'em in
667
00:44:13,690 --> 00:44:14,610
this particular hearing,
668
00:44:14,950 --> 00:44:19,250
and I wonder if it's better for you to raise those as part of your
```

```
written
669
00:44:19,250 --> 00:44:21,890
representation, see what the applicant says,
00:44:22,070 --> 00:44:26,810
and it may be we hold a D C O hearing later, further down the line
in any case.
671
00:44:27,390 --> 00:44:30,610
Um, it, it depends on, you know,
672
00:44:30,610 --> 00:44:34,790
what answers will get to our questions and also while answers you
get to your,
673
00:44:35,930 --> 00:44:37,430
uh, comments as well. Yeah,
674
00:44:37,490 --> 00:44:41,670
I'm, I'm quite happy not not to take these in open forums. Uh, I
mean they're,
675
00:44:41,670 --> 00:44:46,310
they're, they're always very difficult and slow to, slow to proceed.
And,
676
00:44:46,530 --> 00:44:46,770
and,
677
00:44:46,770 --> 00:44:51,230
and it may well be useful to have other parties in the room thinking
necessarily
678
00:44:51,250 --> 00:44:55,950
the local authorities if we get to a point of wanting to agree
changes
679
00:44:56,100 --> 00:44:59,590
with, with, with the applicant and finding that they cut across, um,
680
00:44:59,740 --> 00:45:03,590
```

```
matters with which the three local authorities wish to see in the,
in,
681
00:45:03,590 --> 00:45:04,423
in the order.
682
00:45:05,700 --> 00:45:07,670
Okay. So if you're happy to take those away,
683
00:45:08,230 --> 00:45:11,630
liaise directly with the applicant and the applicant,
684
00:45:11,630 --> 00:45:15,470
you're happy to liaise with Safe Honey Hill Group over those issues
as well.
685
00:45:15,770 --> 00:45:19,190
Uh, I mean, indeed. So if, if, you know, if, if, if a lister or, or,
686
00:45:19,190 --> 00:45:22,230
or details could be provided to us, uh, certainly, uh,
687
00:45:22,280 --> 00:45:25,190
we'll be able to consider those in advance of the next deadline. If
there's,
688
00:45:25,250 --> 00:45:29,110
if there's things that we can, uh, readily accept or adopt,
689
00:45:29,110 --> 00:45:31,470
then obviously we'll be constructive in doing so. Uh,
690
00:45:31,490 --> 00:45:32,750
if there's things that we can't,
691
00:45:32,750 --> 00:45:35,830
then obviously we'll point out that we can't agree with those and,
um,
692
00:45:35,940 --> 00:45:38,950
save Honey Hill will make their representations in due course and
say, will we?
```

```
693
00:45:42,590 --> 00:45:44,220
Sorry sir, that that's acceptable, sir.
694
00:45:44,490 --> 00:45:49,180
Okay, thank you very much. Uh, Mr. Ben from the Environment Agency,
695
00:45:49,280 --> 00:45:51,710
if you'd like to thank,
696
00:45:52,320 --> 00:45:55,590
Thank you. Thank you, sir. Uh, Neville Ben Environment Agency, um,
697
00:45:55,670 --> 00:45:58,790
I just wanted to make the point that depending on the outcome of our
review of a
698
00:45:59,240 --> 00:46:04,230
assessment and modeling might be necessary for us to request a
requirement with
699
00:46:04,230 \longrightarrow 00:46:05,910
respect to flooding in the draft.
700
00:46:08,370 --> 00:46:11,470
I'm sorry, I didn't quite get all that. Could you just repeat the,
701
00:46:11,850 --> 00:46:12,683
repeat your comment?
702
00:46:13,100 --> 00:46:14,190
Yeah, so just, um,
703
00:46:14,250 --> 00:46:18,590
in relation to our review of a revised blood risk assessment and
modeling,
704
00:46:19,220 --> 00:46:20,590
depending on the outcome of this,
705
00:46:20,730 --> 00:46:24,350
```

```
it might be necessary for us to request a requirement in this
respect.
706
00:46:26,220 --> 00:46:27,053
Okay. Thank you.
707
00:46:32,070 --> 00:46:35,050
Uh, thank you sir. Obviously we, we, we spoke yesterday about the
need, uh,
708
00:46:35,070 --> 00:46:38,610
for an updated flood risk assessment and, and the timetable for that
being, uh,
709
00:46:38,610 --> 00:46:41,970
being produced. Uh, I, I think that's what Mr. Ben is referring to
and, and yes.
710
00:46:41,990 --> 00:46:43,890
And things that might fall off the back of that.
711
00:46:45,400 --> 00:46:49,730
Okay. Thank you. Uh, does anybody else have any comments make Yes,
please.
712
00:46:51,750 --> 00:46:56,370
So, simply to ask you to, to note which clearly you already have of
the,
713
00:46:56,370 --> 00:46:58,410
some of the requirement provisions that that,
714
00:46:58,410 --> 00:47:02,730
that the city council and district Council as well as the county
council has
715
00:47:02,850 --> 00:47:05,970
raised in their relevant representations, um,
716
00:47:06,390 \longrightarrow 00:47:09,170
in rather than go through what those are, uh,
```

717

```
00:47:09,190 --> 00:47:11,490
we will clearly put them both in our written rep and,
718
00:47:11,490 --> 00:47:16,250
and more specific probably in us, uh, in the, but um,
719
00:47:17,250 --> 00:47:19,360
we're going to deal with protective provisions in any event.
720
00:47:19,360 --> 00:47:21,200
So that was gonna be the next thing. So, um,
721
00:47:21,320 --> 00:47:23,160
I think that's the better way of dealing with things.
722
00:47:23,550 --> 00:47:28,480
Okay, thank you. Yes,
723
00:47:28,480 \longrightarrow 00:47:29,313
please.
724
00:47:30,130 \longrightarrow 00:47:33,280
Thank you. Charles Jones f and Parish Council. Um,
725
00:47:33,350 --> 00:47:38,080
some time ago we put some work into suggesting that the
726
00:47:38,920 --> 00:47:43,720
proposed site had a dedicated access off the A 14. That proposal,
727
00:47:44,220 --> 00:47:46,200
um, did not,
00:47:46,620 --> 00:47:50,040
has not appeared in the works for reasons that I think have been
well
729
00:47:50,040 --> 00:47:53,600
articulated, um, by all parties. However,
730
00:47:54,180 --> 00:47:58,480
```

```
the problem of traffic in FEIN remains. And to that end,
731
00:47:59,010 --> 00:48:03,120
under Schedule nine part two prohibited movements,
00:48:04,340 --> 00:48:08,120
we had, we had indicated that it would be, in our opinion,
733
00:48:08,220 --> 00:48:12,280
it would be useful to have a no right turn symbol for
734
00:48:13,010 --> 00:48:17,640
motor vehicles entering the site off road, turning right into it.
735
00:48:17,640 --> 00:48:22,120
So northbound traffic through Ton coming up to the site would not be
able to
736
00:48:22,150 --> 00:48:23,600
turn right into the site,
737
00:48:23,860 --> 00:48:27,720
but would instead have to go to Milton Interchange or actually use
Milton
738
00:48:27,720 --> 00:48:31,120
interchange off the A 14 in the first place and not come through
Denton.
739
00:48:31,620 --> 00:48:35,680
And I'd just like to draw your attention to the fact that it's not
there and,
740
00:48:35,900 --> 00:48:37,640
um, we're still very keen on having it.
741
00:48:38,470 --> 00:48:41,720
Okay, that's, that's
742
00:48:41,720 --> 00:48:43,800
Something we can look at, um,
```

```
743
00:48:43,940 --> 00:48:46,880
in future and through the questions in terms of traffic modeling,
744
00:48:47,470 --> 00:48:51,520
what we'd need to look at in that case is the,
00:48:51,540 --> 00:48:55,800
the volume of traffic coming through F ton and the, um,
746
00:48:55,940 --> 00:48:59,720
the transport assessment doesn't indicate a significant amount, um,
747
00:48:59,720 \longrightarrow 00:49:02,160
coming through. So if you could,
748
00:49:02,160 --> 00:49:06,880
through your written representation deal with that matter and
perhaps point us
749
00:49:06,940 --> 00:49:11,640
to any part of the transport assessment or the related to
750
00:49:12,080 --> 00:49:15,680
ES chapters that, um, you think we need to focus on.
751
00:49:16,690 --> 00:49:17,523
Thank you very much.
752
00:49:17,570 --> 00:49:21,990
Thank you. Okay, thank you.
753
00:49:21,990 --> 00:49:25,350
If there's no more comments on agenda item two,
754
00:49:25,380 --> 00:49:27,230
I'll move on to agenda item three.
755
00:49:30,160 --> 00:49:33,490
Okay. I'm no not seeing any virtual hands up either, so,
756
```

```
00:49:34,950 --> 00:49:38,570
uh, this is relates to Schedule 15 protective provisions. Um,
757
00:49:38,630 --> 00:49:43,060
the agenda item's failing self, self-explanatory. So firstly,
758
00:49:43,060 --> 00:49:47,620
if I could have an update please on the protective provisions
included in
759
00:49:47,820 --> 00:49:52,640
Schedule 15 of the draft D C O. Um,
760
00:49:52,800 \longrightarrow 00:49:56,160
I know there's, we've got National Highways virtually,
761
00:49:56,220 --> 00:50:01,160
so I may go to them in due course, but if I could have a brief
update, uh,
762
00:50:01,240 --> 00:50:06,060
I know some updates were made in the revised D C O as well.
763
00:50:07,150 --> 00:50:07,983
Thank you.
764
00:50:08,070 --> 00:50:12,220
Thank you sir. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant. Um, uh,
765
00:50:13,360 --> 00:50:17,020
as was mentioned, um, earlier by Mr. May, um, the, the,
00:50:17,020 --> 00:50:20,140
the draft protective provisions in the, in the order, um,
767
00:50:20,360 \longrightarrow 00:50:22,660
are for the benefit of various third parties,
768
00:50:22,840 --> 00:50:26,700
and the intention of those is to govern the arrangements between the
parties as
```

```
769
00:50:26,700 --> 00:50:28,960
to how those parts of the authorized development,
770
00:50:28,960 --> 00:50:33,480
which will interact with those third party assets or infrastructure
will be
771
00:50:33,480 --> 00:50:36,720
constructed and maintained. Um,
772
00:50:37,660 --> 00:50:41,600
the version of the protective provisions in the order at, at the
moment, um,
773
00:50:41,830 --> 00:50:46,360
largely remain unchanged. So for those, uh, uh, relating to
Cambridge Water,
774
00:50:46,410 \longrightarrow 00:50:50,640
which were updated in the latest version of the D C O and, and on
our agreed,
775
00:50:51,380 --> 00:50:51,940
um,
776
00:50:51,940 --> 00:50:55,760
the applicant has of course continued to engage with the various
third parties
777
00:50:56,060 --> 00:50:58,840
in respect to the provisions and, uh,
778
00:50:58,840 --> 00:51:01,680
intends to update the versions in the d c 0 in,
779
00:51:01,820 --> 00:51:06,720
in the next version to be submitted. Albeit they may not be finally
agreed,
780
00:51:06,780 --> 00:51:10,800
but it, it is sort helpful that the next draft will,
```

```
781
00:51:10,800 --> 00:51:14,040
will reflect progress that has been made on those to date.
782
00:51:15,740 --> 00:51:20,590
Um, if I run through, um, the, the various parts of Schedule 15,
783
00:51:20,590 --> 00:51:24,110
just to give you a brief update. Yeah, thank you very briefly, if
that's okay.
784
00:51:24,970 --> 00:51:28,590
Uh, there is no real update, uh, in respect of part one. And,
785
00:51:28,770 --> 00:51:32,990
and the reason for this is that these are, are general, um, generic
provisions,
786
00:51:33,170 --> 00:51:36,910
um, for the benefit of electricity and gas undertakers other than
those that are
787
00:51:37,110 --> 00:51:38,830
specifically carved out and dealt with separately.
788
00:51:39,090 --> 00:51:43,350
And that's Caden and Eastern Power Networks, which I'll come onto.
789
00:51:44,330 --> 00:51:48,230
It is thought helpful, however, to retain these standard provisions,
um,
790
00:51:48,240 --> 00:51:51,790
which are included in many D C O, um, uh, that,
791
00:51:51,790 --> 00:51:55,270
that have been made just to ensure that, uh, that, that,
00:51:55,340 --> 00:52:00,070
that such undertakes, which aren't, uh, Caden to Eastern and gas
networks,
```

```
00:52:00,570 --> 00:52:04,150
um, and do have infrastructure in the order limits,
794
00:52:04,530 --> 00:52:08,270
can take the benefit of those provisions, uh, in due course should,
795
00:52:08,270 --> 00:52:12,950
should any assets be, be, um, discovered. Uh,
796
00:52:12,950 --> 00:52:16,910
part two then deals specifically with Eastern Power Networks and the
applicant
797
00:52:16,910 --> 00:52:21,710
is pleased to cont uh, to confirm that updated provisions are
agreed, uh,
798
00:52:21,900 --> 00:52:25,230
with Eastern Power Networks with only one remaining point between
the parties.
799
00:52:25,850 --> 00:52:30,670
And this relates to powers to Compulsorily creative right of way.
Um,
800
00:52:31,050 --> 00:52:34,030
now, uh, TE terms have recently been agreed with,
801
00:52:34,100 --> 00:52:38,110
with Eastern Power Networks relating to this, uh, this right of way.
802
00:52:38,110 --> 00:52:43,070
And as soon as, as of agreements, um, are concluded dealing with
those terms,
803
00:52:43,180 --> 00:52:43,750
then uh,
804
00:52:43,750 --> 00:52:48,110
the intention will will be to remove that provision from the
provisions and, uh,
```

805

```
00:52:48,110 --> 00:52:50,950
and that will of course be reflected in the D C O and any statement
of common
806
00:52:50,950 --> 00:52:51,790
ground in due course.
00:52:54,150 --> 00:52:58,600
Part three of Schedule 15 then deals with, uh, with Cadent gas
provisions.
808
00:52:59,180 --> 00:53:02,560
Um, there are a few remaining, um, outstanding points between the
parties,
809
00:53:02,610 --> 00:53:05,320
which, which the applicant continues to seek to progress,
810
00:53:05,780 \longrightarrow 00:53:09,400
and this relates mostly to the provision of security, um,
811
00:53:11,940 --> 00:53:13,800
uh, and the applicant will, um, will,
812
00:53:13,800 --> 00:53:16,400
will continue its discussions in that regard. And this, again,
00:53:16,400 --> 00:53:18,480
will be reflected in the next version.
814
00:53:20,830 --> 00:53:22,400
Part four then sets out, um,
815
00:53:22,400 --> 00:53:25,440
draft protective provisions for the benefit of network rail. Um,
816
00:53:25,470 --> 00:53:28,440
this will govern the installation and maintenance and monitoring of
the,
817
00:53:28,440 --> 00:53:32,400
of the transfer tunnel and the Water Beach pipeline, which will be,
```

```
um, uh,
818
00:53:32,400 --> 00:53:35,000
installed underneath the railway. Um,
00:53:35,670 --> 00:53:39,680
discussions are ongoing with Network Rail, um, which also deal with,
820
00:53:39,820 --> 00:53:43,200
the various necessary asset protection agreements that that network
rail
821
00:53:43,200 --> 00:53:46,880
requires. Um, and whilst provisions aren't yet agreed,
822
00:53:46,940 --> 00:53:50,080
the applicant has extensive, uh, experience, uh,
823
00:53:50,340 --> 00:53:54,160
of agreeing provisions along a of a similar nature with Network Rail
and is
824
00:53:54,160 --> 00:53:55,160
confident that, um,
825
00:53:55,160 --> 00:53:59,880
that any issues can be resolved during the course of the examination
and the
826
00:53:59,880 --> 00:54:01,160
applicant will of course, um,
827
00:54:01,190 --> 00:54:03,720
keep the examining authority updated in that regard.
828
00:54:05,710 --> 00:54:09,240
With regard to the National Highways Protective Provisions, um,
00:54:09,860 --> 00:54:13,400
the provisions that are in included in the draft D c O at part five
at the time
```

```
830
00:54:13,400 --> 00:54:17,280
of submission had, uh, had actually been the subjective of, of,
831
00:54:17,380 --> 00:54:21,320
of detailed discussion with National Highways over many months. Um,
832
00:54:21,340 --> 00:54:24,600
and the approach to those particular provisions were, um,
833
00:54:25,220 --> 00:54:30,080
to deal with two matters. One was to deal with the, um, uh,
834
00:54:30,820 --> 00:54:34,120
the protection of, uh, of National Highway's infrastructure, i e
the,
835
00:54:34,120 --> 00:54:38,160
that's the A 14, um, during the installation and maintenance of,
836
00:54:38,340 --> 00:54:42,720
of the transfer tunnel and the, and the Water Beach pipeline. And
then secondly,
837
00:54:42,860 --> 00:54:46,440
to cover any, um, highway type works, um,
838
00:54:46,590 --> 00:54:50,160
sort of section 2 7 8, uh, drafting, um,
839
00:54:50,340 --> 00:54:52,400
in relation to the various highway works and, and,
840
00:54:52,400 --> 00:54:56,200
and traffic regulation needed on the Strategic Road Network. Um,
841
00:54:58,260 --> 00:55:01,640
at the time of submission provisions had, had almost been agreed
with,
842
00:55:01,640 --> 00:55:05,960
```

```
with some outstanding points, but, but not many. Um, but the
applicant, um,
843
00:55:06,180 --> 00:55:11,120
has in recent weeks had more detailed, um, engagement with the,
844
00:55:11,120 --> 00:55:12,200
with, with National Highways,
845
00:55:12,200 --> 00:55:16,080
and now understands that National Highways has a revised approach to
protective
846
00:55:16,080 --> 00:55:19,480
provisions generally in D C O and is, um,
847
00:55:20,070 --> 00:55:22,160
currently intending to, to seek,
848
00:55:22,300 --> 00:55:25,960
its its new standard version provisions in the D C O. Um,
849
00:55:26,720 --> 00:55:29,240
needless to say that, that the applicant is, uh,
00:55:30,460 --> 00:55:32,370
quite disappointed with that, with that approach,
00:55:32,370 --> 00:55:36,130
but he's continuing its engagement and, and and seeking to include
those, um,
00:55:36,380 --> 00:55:40,290
those standard provisions of National Highways where it can, um,
but,
853
00:55:40,350 --> 00:55:41,290
but clearly, uh,
00:55:41,290 --> 00:55:44,530
would like to retain matters that had previously been agreed with
National
```

```
855
00:55:44,530 --> 00:55:48,730
Highways. But that does remain the, the subjective ongoing
discussions, um,
00:55:49,150 --> 00:55:52,650
and the applicant will, will continue its endeavors to, to, to reach
agreement.
857
00:55:52,880 --> 00:55:53,190
Okay.
858
00:55:53,190 --> 00:55:57,570
If maybe I could just bring in National Highways for a, for a
minute. Um,
859
00:56:00,840 --> 00:56:03,700
so we've, we've read your relevant representation. It didn't,
860
00:56:03,700 --> 00:56:08,620
it didn't appear to include any sort of protective provisions that
you
861
00:56:08,620 --> 00:56:10,300
would like to have included. Um,
862
00:56:10,940 --> 00:56:14,780
I think we've got written questions on that at that for,
863
00:56:15,240 --> 00:56:18,980
for you to provide those, um, at deadline one, if that's what you so
wish to do.
864
00:56:19,640 --> 00:56:22,740
Um, I suppose the, the question is,
865
00:56:22,740 --> 00:56:26,820
do it due envisage that protected provisions would be agreed during
the course
866
00:56:26,820 --> 00:56:27,660
of the examination?
```

```
867
00:56:28,560 --> 00:56:33,380
Uh, yes. Yeah, I'm hopeful that, um, we can reach a point of a, um,
868
00:56:33,570 --> 00:56:37,900
agreement. Um, sorry, is there's quite an echo back.
869
00:56:38,640 --> 00:56:43,510
Um, is it possible to, um, yes. Yeah, thank you.
870
00:56:44,250 --> 00:56:45,900
Um, yeah, I,
871
00:56:46,060 --> 00:56:49,780
I believe that we can reach a point of agreement and I'm hopeful
that we can,
872
00:56:50,080 --> 00:56:52,460
um, uh, follow,
873
00:56:53,220 --> 00:56:56,940
continue to engage positively with the applicant, um,
874
00:56:57,850 --> 00:57:01,820
working through the, the points still left to be agreed. Um,
00:57:05,720 --> 00:57:06,650
Okay, that's very helpful.
876
00:57:06,830 --> 00:57:11,370
So we'll just leave you to continue on your negotiations, um,
877
00:57:11,590 --> 00:57:13,850
and look forward to an updated deadline. One.
878
00:57:14,710 --> 00:57:15,490
Yes, thank
879
00:57:15,490 --> 00:57:16,810
You. Okay. Thank you very much.
```

```
880
00:57:18,820 --> 00:57:22,730
Thank you, sir. Um, so moving on to part six, um,
00:57:22,980 --> 00:57:26,890
which deals with, uh, provisions for, um, the, the,
882
00:57:26,950 --> 00:57:31,650
the benefit of the local highway authority. These, uh, the, the
version in the,
883
00:57:31,650 --> 00:57:36,410
in the order at the moment, um, as has briefly been, um, discussed,
um, um,
884
00:57:36,410 --> 00:57:38,890
earlier in, in, in the hearing were those,
885
00:57:39,270 \longrightarrow 00:57:42,410
and they had been included in the applicant's D C O for, for quite
some time,
886
00:57:42,410 \longrightarrow 00:57:46,170
including during its statutory consultation. Um, uh,
887
00:57:46,310 --> 00:57:47,930
the applicant again has,
888
00:57:48,110 --> 00:57:52,290
has in recent weeks now understands from the county council, um,
889
00:57:52,560 --> 00:57:56,130
that it would, and, and also as part of its relevant representation
response,
890
00:57:56,130 --> 00:58:00,610
which is our, our 0 0 2 on the um, examination library.
891
00:58:01,390 --> 00:58:05,890
Um, the county is seeking, uh,
892
00:58:06,430 --> 00:58:10,230
```

```
its preferred section 2 7 8 agreement under the, uh,
893
00:58:10,250 --> 00:58:14,430
the Highways Act 1980. Um, the applicant has had a,
00:58:14,470 --> 00:58:17,950
a number of meetings with, with the county council on this in, in
recent weeks.
895
00:58:18,410 --> 00:58:22,030
And the latest position is that the applicant has agreed to
undertake an
896
00:58:22,310 --> 00:58:26,590
exercise to, um, to seek to, uh,
00:58:27,130 --> 00:58:27,963
Put
898
00:58:28,210 --> 00:58:32,990
The county's 2 7 8 wording, um, where appropriate and where,
899
00:58:32,990 --> 00:58:36,510
where agreed into the order in place of the current protection
provisions,
900
00:58:36,510 --> 00:58:40,190
which were proposed, um, by the applicant. So that conversation,
901
00:58:40,290 --> 00:58:42,430
as has been indicated, uh, earlier this morning,
00:58:42,730 --> 00:58:46,870
is ongoing and will include detailed, uh, detailed discussions with,
with,
903
00:58:46,870 --> 00:58:48,350
with the county, uh,
00:58:48,350 --> 00:58:50,870
so that the next version of protection provisions may well be very
different to
```

```
905
00:58:50,870 --> 00:58:52,830
the ones included in the, in the order at the moment.
906
00:58:54,350 --> 00:58:54,910
Okay, thank you.
907
00:58:54,910 \longrightarrow 00:58:59,110
I don't intend to ask you for update 'cause that appears to be the
update.
908
00:59:00,820 --> 00:59:05,280
No, sir. I, I think that, um, to, just to be clear,
909
00:59:05,740 --> 00:59:07,720
um, I we're,
910
00:59:07,770 \longrightarrow 00:59:12,640
we're exploring whether you can actually simply transposed two
cinema.
911
00:59:13,200 --> 00:59:16,520
I mean, which is part of our point is, is that if, if you can't do
it properly,
912
00:59:16,550 --> 00:59:19,040
does two seven eight's the better route? Um,
00:59:19,420 --> 00:59:21,480
and there may be ways of making the system quicker.
914
00:59:21,660 --> 00:59:24,400
So those are the discussions. Um, but we are,
915
00:59:25,140 --> 00:59:28,080
we are fairly adamant about this. I'm just going to say that.
916
00:59:29,030 --> 00:59:33,560
Okay. Well, we're just happy that discussions are going on, so yeah,
we'll,
```

```
00:59:33,560 --> 00:59:34,393
we'll wait to see.
918
00:59:34,910 --> 00:59:35,600
Just to be clear,
919
00:59:35,600 --> 00:59:39,120
the applicant's position at the moment is very clear that it can be
done,
920
00:59:39,150 --> 00:59:44,120
it's done in a, it's been done in other orders. Um, uh, and again,
921
00:59:44,120 --> 00:59:46,400
the applicant's intention is that that, you know,
922
00:59:46,400 --> 00:59:50,200
making use of the one-stop shop type approach, um, that the,
923
00:59:50,340 --> 00:59:54,000
the general arrangement of the highway works is consented through
the D C O with
924
00:59:54,000 --> 00:59:58,360
further engagement then to to follow as it would in a 2 7 8, um,
925
00:59:58,900 --> 01:00:02,800
uh, agreement. But we'll take that offline. As you can see,
conversation is,
926
01:00:03,020 --> 01:00:04,540
is ongoing.
927
01:00:17,670 --> 01:00:21,410
Can I just come back on the point to Cambridge, uh, counter council
please?
928
01:00:21,950 --> 01:00:23,690
If it does end up,
929
01:00:23,790 --> 01:00:27,850
you said you were adamant or pretty adamant I think was the wording
```

```
being a
930
01:00:27,880 --> 01:00:29,410
section 2 7 8 route,
01:00:30,380 --> 01:00:35,170
would the examining authority need to have that in their hands
before the close
932
01:00:35,170 --> 01:00:38,970
of the examination or is it something that would be resolved further
down the
933
01:00:38,970 --> 01:00:39,803
line?
934
01:00:40,240 --> 01:00:41,770
Well, so it,
935
01:00:41,790 --> 01:00:46,570
it just as a section 1 0 6 agreements in terms of drafts, you would,
936
01:00:46,570 --> 01:00:49,930
you would be expecting to see, um, if it is possible to,
937
01:00:50,070 --> 01:00:52,450
to ha have two seven eights then so be it.
01:00:52,450 --> 01:00:56,650
Otherwise it would be something that's down the line and there may
be ways of,
939
01:00:57,390 --> 01:01:00,930
of, um, covering that off within the D C O.
940
01:01:01,590 --> 01:01:04,330
It would be useful then to have an update from both sides
Absolutely.
941
01:01:04,330 --> 01:01:08,930
In respect of that, um, so that we can plan the examination
accordingly. Yes.
```

```
942
01:01:09,440 --> 01:01:13,130
Just to be clear, we are running two horses. We are trying to,
943
01:01:13,130 --> 01:01:16,530
to look at the protective provisions, but our preferred position is
two seven.
944
01:01:21,100 --> 01:01:22,950
Okay, thank you. If you want like to continue.
945
01:01:23,760 --> 01:01:28,350
Thank you. Um, so part seven of, uh, schedule 15, uh,
946
01:01:28,350 --> 01:01:32,110
includes proposed provisions to govern arrangements between the
applicant and
947
01:01:32,110 --> 01:01:36,630
the Cam Conservancy, um, uh, being the relevant navigation
authority.
948
01:01:37,370 --> 01:01:41,670
Um, and these provisions, uh, govern arrangements for the carrying
out of, of,
949
01:01:41,730 --> 01:01:44,630
of those works which would need to interfere with, with river
traffic.
950
01:01:44,690 --> 01:01:48,950
And these are specifically works 31 and and 32. Um,
951
01:01:50,210 --> 01:01:53,430
as you will have noted from, uh, for discussions earlier in the
hearing, uh,
952
01:01:53,430 --> 01:01:57,350
we've had detailed engagement with the conservancy and that does
include
01:01:57,350 --> 01:02:01,830
```

```
commentary on the drafting of, of Article 44 and the protective
provisions, um,
954
01:02:03,050 --> 01:02:06,390
are, uh, the applicant has proposed some amendments to that, uh, to,
955
01:02:06,390 --> 01:02:09,190
to both of those, uh, provisions in the order. Um,
956
01:02:09,490 --> 01:02:13,070
and we are a way to response from the conservancy on on those, the
amendments,
957
01:02:13,370 --> 01:02:17,710
uh, deal with, um, notification, uh,
958
01:02:17,730 --> 01:02:22,160
and advertisement requirements, um, largely, but, um, uh,
959
01:02:23,480 --> 01:02:27,920
progress is, is, um, in the applicant's view, um, very positive and,
um,
960
01:02:28,310 --> 01:02:31,480
will continue to, to do so. Um,
961
01:02:32,150 --> 01:02:36,200
partake then contains standard generic provisions again for, um, uh,
that,
962
01:02:36,200 --> 01:02:39,800
that are included in many D C O for the operators of the electronic
963
01:02:40,200 --> 01:02:42,520
Communications code network. Um,
964
01:02:42,770 --> 01:02:45,760
there hasn't been any detailed engagement on these provisions with
any
965
01:02:45,760 --> 01:02:49,440
particular operator, operator in particular, um, uh,
```

```
966
01:02:49,900 --> 01:02:53,320
nor any commentary from, from any parties, um, there on. Um,
967
01:02:53,540 --> 01:02:56,520
but given that there are, there are standard provisions, um, uh, we,
968
01:02:56,580 --> 01:03:01,400
we don't con uh, envisage any concerns in, in that regard. Um,
01:03:01,860 --> 01:03:05,520
as indicated part nine includes the provisions for the benefit of
Cambridge
970
01:03:05,520 --> 01:03:06,760
water, which are now, um,
971
01:03:06,940 --> 01:03:09,840
agreed in the ver and those are reflected in the version that was
submitted on
972
01:03:09,840 --> 01:03:11,440
the 29th of September,
973
01:03:11,440 --> 01:03:16,360
which is as 0 1 0 and as 0 1 1.
974
01:03:17,500 --> 01:03:20,640
Um, and then finally part 10 contains, uh,
975
01:03:20,850 --> 01:03:24,320
draft provisions for the benefit of the lead local flood authority.
Um,
976
01:03:24,660 --> 01:03:27,400
the applicant has received some comments from the,
977
01:03:27,460 --> 01:03:29,440
the county council on these provisions, uh,
978
01:03:29,440 --> 01:03:33,320
```

```
engagement will
979
01:03:33,560 --> 01:03:35,160
continue. Um,
980
01:03:38,040 --> 01:03:41,320
I think that concludes schedule 15. Is there any questions?
981
01:03:42,260 --> 01:03:43,760
You said Cambridge water was agreed
982
01:03:44,190 --> 01:03:46,120
Cambridge Water is agreed. That's right, yes.
983
01:03:46,590 --> 01:03:48,940
Okay. And you'll,
984
01:03:49,050 --> 01:03:52,940
will you provide us with evidence of that's agreement?
01:03:53,700 --> 01:03:54,820
Absolutely it's intended, um,
986
01:03:55,050 --> 01:03:58,180
that that will be documented in the statement of common ground,
which, um,
987
01:03:58,180 --> 01:03:59,500
believe will come onto later.
988
01:04:03,880 --> 01:04:06,810
Okay. Thank you very much for that. Um,
989
01:04:07,280 --> 01:04:10,050
does anybody else have any comments on protected provisions?
990
01:04:11,590 --> 01:04:14,250
So I'm just going to confirm on behalf of the L L F A that,
991
01:04:14,250 --> 01:04:16,090
```

last week and is in the process of reviewing them and that that

```
that those conversations are going on Ongoing.
992
01:04:16,840 --> 01:04:20,100
Okay, thank you. Okay.
01:04:20,100 --> 01:04:22,980
I can see no hands raised virtually either.
994
01:04:26,830 --> 01:04:30,610
Um, in that case I'll hand over to Mr.
995
01:04:30,770 --> 01:04:33,610
B Brisky for agenda item four, which relates to consents,
996
01:04:33,690 --> 01:04:35,250
licenses and other agreements.
997
01:04:36,540 --> 01:04:38,890
Thank you. Similar to the last item,
998
01:04:38,890 --> 01:04:41,850
please can I have an update from the applicant on the progress of
the consents
999
01:04:41,850 --> 01:04:42,683
and licenses?
1000
01:04:44,660 --> 01:04:47,890
Thank you ma'am. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant, um,
1001
01:04:48,910 --> 01:04:51,450
as the examining authority will will know, um,
1002
01:04:52,270 --> 01:04:55,170
the applicant included as part of its application documentation,
1003
01:04:55,370 --> 01:04:59,530
a document called consents and other permits register that, um,
1004
01:04:59,970 --> 01:05:04,050
register was updated, um, at the procedural deadline on the 29th of
```

```
September,
1005
01:05:04,050 --> 01:05:04,890
which is, um,
1006
01:05:05,250 --> 01:05:10,250
examination library reference as 1 23 and as
1007
01:05:10,430 --> 01:05:12,650
1, 2 4 for the tracked version. Um,
1008
01:05:12,700 --> 01:05:17,240
those amendments deal largely I understand with, um, typographical
errors and,
1009
01:05:17,240 --> 01:05:21,000
and not, not any amendments of, of, of real, um, substance.
1010
01:05:22,940 --> 01:05:26,720
The, the purpose of the register is to, to outline the various
consents,
1011
01:05:26,720 --> 01:05:31,120
licenses and permits that the applicant will be seeking outside of
the D C O.
1012
01:05:32,060 --> 01:05:33,160
Um, uh,
1013
01:05:33,260 --> 01:05:37,880
and those are more particularly listed in Appendix A of that
register in table
1014
01:05:38,020 --> 01:05:42,360
1.1. Um, I propose to just briefly run through each,
1015
01:05:42,390 --> 01:05:46,520
each line if that's helpful. Yeah. Um,
1016
01:05:46,580 --> 01:05:50,920
so the first two entries deal with Crown Land. Um, and, and
```

```
1017
01:05:52,420 --> 01:05:56,480
for absolute clarity, the Crown Land, um, in,
1018
01:05:56,500 --> 01:06:00,800
in the order is not any freehold land. It relates to crown
interests.
1019
01:06:01,460 --> 01:06:06,040
Um, there are, um, drainage rights, I believe, um, uh,
1020
01:06:06,100 --> 01:06:10,080
in favor of, uh, the Secretary of State for defense and, uh, some,
1021
01:06:10,590 --> 01:06:13,160
some rights which are understood to be historic rights, uh,
1022
01:06:13,160 --> 01:06:17,160
for the benefit of the Secretary of State for transport in the
vicinity of the A
1023
01:06:17,440 --> 01:06:20,800
14. Um, because, um,
1024
01:06:21,210 --> 01:06:24,560
there are crown rights therefore in, in, in land within the order
limits,
1025
01:06:25,100 --> 01:06:26,640
the applicant is seeking, uh,
1026
01:06:26,660 --> 01:06:31,480
the necessary consent under section 1 3 5 subsection two of the
Planning Act
1027
01:06:31,480 --> 01:06:34,960
2008. Um, and, um, and is engaging with, um,
1028
01:06:34,990 --> 01:06:38,040
with both of those Crown, crown, um,
1029
01:06:38,040 --> 01:06:42,240
```

```
bodies and gov government departments. Um, uh, both,
1030
01:06:42,350 --> 01:06:45,480
both of those departments are actively engaging and, and the
applicant will, um,
1031
01:06:45,480 --> 01:06:48,760
will keep the examining authority updated during the examination.
1032
01:06:50,900 --> 01:06:54,480
Um, the registered then lists a number of permits, um,
1033
01:06:54,500 --> 01:06:58,080
in respect of which the applicant has been liaising with the
Environment Agency.
1034
01:06:58,820 --> 01:07:01,440
Um, for some of these, um,
1035
01:07:01,910 --> 01:07:05,200
it's not yet known whether a permit will definitely be required. Uh,
1036
01:07:05,200 --> 01:07:08,720
and this is because the need for them is, is triggered by certain
thresholds.
1037
01:07:09,030 --> 01:07:10,160
However, um,
1038
01:07:10,230 --> 01:07:13,400
with a view to starting the process as early as possible to assist
both the
1039
01:07:13,400 --> 01:07:15,760
applicant and the Environment Agency, um,
1040
01:07:15,860 --> 01:07:19,040
the applicant has agreed with the Environment Agency to submit some
early
1041
01:07:19,040 --> 01:07:23,640
applications, which because of their nature will clearly contain
```

```
estimates, um,
1042
01:07:23,640 --> 01:07:27,960
around, uh, some detail and timings. But, um, uh,
01:07:28,590 --> 01:07:32,320
they are intended to facilitate, um, easier and,
1044
01:07:32,320 --> 01:07:33,960
and faster progress when the, when,
1045
01:07:34,030 --> 01:07:38,200
when the actual application will will be needed. Um,
1046
01:07:38,780 --> 01:07:42,680
and that an example of that relates to some of the water extraction
abstraction
1047
01:07:42,760 --> 01:07:46,800
licenses. Um, these will all be listed and explained, um,
1048
01:07:46,860 --> 01:07:51,120
in the statements of common ground with the Environment Agency and
progress of
1049
01:07:51,120 --> 01:07:56,080
those will be reflected at the various deadlines for submission of
updated, um,
1050
01:07:56,080 --> 01:07:57,800
statements during the examination.
1051
01:07:59,350 --> 01:08:03,840
With regard then to the construction water discharge activity
permit, um,
1052
01:08:04,020 --> 01:08:06,960
the applicant, this is one of the early applications that is going
in, um,
1053
01:08:06,960 --> 01:08:10,920
very soon. Um, and, um, again, that will include, uh,
```

```
1054
01:08:11,560 --> 01:08:13,360
estimates of of volumes and timings.
1055
01:08:15,490 --> 01:08:19,640
There are a number of ghost licenses mentioned in the, in the
permit,
1056
01:08:20,100 --> 01:08:21,280
in the permits register,
1057
01:08:21,980 --> 01:08:26,970
the ghost license dealing with the European protected species
mitigation,
1058
01:08:27,190 --> 01:08:31,170
um, for water bowls and bats have both been submitted, um,
1059
01:08:31,230 --> 01:08:34,530
to Natural England, and the applicant has received, um,
01:08:34,530 --> 01:08:39,250
letters of no impediment from Natural England. Um, in respect of
both of these,
1061
01:08:39,470 --> 01:08:43,650
and the intention is to append those letters of no impediment to the
statement
1062
01:08:43,650 --> 01:08:44,850
of common ground in, uh,
1063
01:08:44,870 --> 01:08:49,210
to be submitted shortly with regard to the ghost license, uh,
1064
01:08:49,210 --> 01:08:52,490
relating to badges. Um, again,
1065
01:08:52,510 --> 01:08:54,730
the application has been submitted and the applicant has,
1066
```

```
01:08:54,830 --> 01:08:58,130
has provided all requested information to Natural England. Um,
1067
01:08:58,790 --> 01:09:03,330
the applicant expects a letter of, of no impediment imminently. Uh,
and,
1068
01:09:03,510 --> 01:09:05,330
and of course when that is received, again,
1069
01:09:05,330 --> 01:09:06,850
it will be appended to the statement of common ground.
1070
01:09:09,430 --> 01:09:13,850
The industrial emissions Directive permit application was submitted
to the
1071
01:09:13,850 --> 01:09:18,210
Environment Agency in March, 2023, following intensive engagement
with the ea.
1072
01:09:18,790 --> 01:09:23,170
Um, and this is a, a, a relatively new permitting requirement. Um,
1073
01:09:23,510 --> 01:09:26,810
and in that regard, the applicant has been liaising with the EA very
closely,
1074
01:09:27,310 --> 01:09:31,850
um, with regard to its first draft, uh, of the, of this application.
1075
01:09:32,550 --> 01:09:35,730
Um, the Environment Agency have requested some further information
from the
1076
01:09:35,730 --> 01:09:36,563
applicant,
1077
01:09:36,630 --> 01:09:41,090
and the applicant is now in an enhanced pre-application discussions
so that the
1078
```

```
01:09:41,090 --> 01:09:45,730
necessary detail and information can be provided and the application
will
1079
01:09:45,990 --> 01:09:49,530
of course continue to, to progress. Um, sorry,
1080
01:09:49,590 --> 01:09:52,050
Can I just ask on that one, um, is,
1081
01:09:52,110 --> 01:09:55,250
is that one of the ones that you said would be expected to be duly
made in
1082
01:09:55,610 --> 01:09:59,290
December of this year, the industrial emissions?
1083
01:10:02,830 --> 01:10:05,570
And has that changed at all based on the information just provided?
1084
01:10:06,170 --> 01:10:07,890
I believe originally that was the case,
1085
01:10:08,070 --> 01:10:12,930
but given the conversations that have been happening since March,
um, the,
1086
01:10:12,930 --> 01:10:15,490
the pre-application is, is well underway. Um,
1087
01:10:15,750 --> 01:10:17,610
and I understand it will be going in
1088
01:10:22,510 --> 01:10:22,950
Ιt,
1089
01:10:22,950 --> 01:10:25,730
The formal application will be going in within the next month. I
understand.
1090
01:10:26,000 --> 01:10:26,300
0kay.
```

```
1091
01:10:26,300 --> 01:10:27,133
Thank you.
1092
01:10:31,730 --> 01:10:36,390
Um, some clarity on, on, on a note that is in the register, um, uh,
1093
01:10:36,530 --> 01:10:40,510
in respect of the industrial emissions directive permit following
this detailed
1094
01:10:40,510 \longrightarrow 01:10:42,750
engagement with the Environment Agency,
1095
01:10:42,750 --> 01:10:46,510
the applicant is now clear that this permit application will include
the medium
1096
01:10:46,560 --> 01:10:50,590
combustion permit and it's not envisaged that it will be separate
from,
1097
01:10:51,020 --> 01:10:52,190
from the I E D permit.
1098
01:10:58,930 --> 01:11:02,100
Turning then to, uh, the land drainage consents, um,
01:11:02,120 --> 01:11:05,340
the applicant has had detailed engagement with the internal drainage
boards,
1100
01:11:05,340 --> 01:11:10,060
and this is the SWAT and Water Beach drainage boards which
1101
01:11:10,400 --> 01:11:15,280
sit under the group of drain internal drainage boards. Um, the Eli
Ely Group,
1102
01:11:15,540 --> 01:11:20,240
um, idb, um, matters are now I understand,
```

```
1103
01:11:20,340 --> 01:11:23,880
agreed with the, uh, with the I D B and, um,
1104
01:11:24,140 --> 01:11:27,360
the applicant expects confirmation of that through both a letter of
1105
01:11:27,360 --> 01:11:32,040
impediment and also a state of common ground with the I D B to
reflect this,
1106
01:11:32,420 --> 01:11:33,060
the most,
1107
01:11:33,060 --> 01:11:36,360
the most recent discussions and agreements relating to the crossing
points and
1108
01:11:36,360 --> 01:11:38,720
depth, um, of the Water Beach Pipeline.
01:11:41,990 --> 01:11:42,390
Um,
1110
01:11:42,390 --> 01:11:46,010
the applicant is in discussion with the Environment Agency with
regard to the
01:11:46,010 --> 01:11:49,810
various minerals and waste permits, um, noted in the register. Um,
and again,
1112
01:11:49,810 --> 01:11:52,090
this will be reflected in the statement of common ground.
1113
01:11:54,620 --> 01:11:58,050
There are then a number of, um, other consents noted in the
register,
1114
01:11:58,050 --> 01:12:02,410
which each of which will be dealt with post consent and the
applicant has
```

```
1115
01:12:02,410 --> 01:12:05,450
continued its, um, its engagement with the, the relevant bodies,
1116
01:12:05,550 --> 01:12:08,650
the ones I've particularly wanted to draw attention to, uh,
1117
01:12:08,650 --> 01:12:13,330
whether it has been progress, um, uh, beyond,
01:12:14,030 --> 01:12:18,970
beyond that's explained in the register relates to the various water
abstraction
1119
01:12:19,050 --> 01:12:23,970
licenses, um, the water impounding license and the water abstraction
consent.
1120
01:12:24,340 --> 01:12:29,050
These are all, um, uh, those which are going to be, um, uh,
1121
01:12:29,100 --> 01:12:32,570
dealt with via early, uh, early applications, um,
1122
01:12:32,710 --> 01:12:36,290
as I mentioned earlier and, um,
1123
01:12:36,790 --> 01:12:40,650
the flood risk and flood defense consent, um, we've, we've discussed
this, um,
1124
01:12:40,650 --> 01:12:43,330
earlier today and also in the preliminary meeting yesterday. Um,
1125
01:12:43,330 --> 01:12:46,290
as the examining authority is aware, uh,
01:12:46,290 --> 01:12:50,650
the applicant is now in a position to undertake further modeling due
to the
```

```
01:12:50,650 --> 01:12:55,010
Environment agency's newly released data. That data was actually
provided, um,
1128
01:12:55,010 --> 01:12:58,610
yesterday, and, um, the applicant is now, um, uh,
1129
01:12:59,480 --> 01:13:02,890
getting on with, with getting that modeling and assessment underway,
um,
1130
01:13:03,030 --> 01:13:05,010
and will progress that as soon as possible.
1131
01:13:08,770 --> 01:13:12,500
Finally, the, the water discharge application for the final
effluent, um,
1132
01:13:12,520 --> 01:13:13,820
as is noted in the register,
1133
01:13:14,120 --> 01:13:18,660
was submitted to the Environment Agency in September, 2022. Um,
1134
01:13:18,660 --> 01:13:21,700
the applicant awaits a response from the, from the ea, um,
01:13:21,840 --> 01:13:23,820
on the determination of the application.
1136
01:13:26,480 --> 01:13:28,510
Could the a give any update on that, please?
1137
01:13:33,320 --> 01:13:35,990
Thank you, ma'am. Uh, never been environment entity. Um,
1138
01:13:35,990 --> 01:13:39,270
there's nothing further I can update than what's been said, really.
Um,
1139
01:13:39,270 --> 01:13:43,950
other than some of the permitting applications, the I e D Water
```

```
quality permit,
1140
01:13:44,650 --> 01:13:45,100
uh,
1141
01:13:45,100 --> 01:13:48,710
it's my understanding that subject assessment do not currently
consider issues
1142
01:13:48,710 --> 01:13:49,550
that be resolved,
1143
01:13:52,770 --> 01:13:55,870
but for the other thank you aspects permit, et cetera,
1144
01:13:55,910 --> 01:13:58,270
I have no direct involvement, but if there's any questions,
1145
01:13:58,610 --> 01:14:01,070
please put it to us and we can get back to it at later point.
1146
01:14:02,360 --> 01:14:03,193
Thank you.
1147
01:14:06,060 --> 01:14:08,780
I have nothing further on the register unless there are any
questions.
01:14:11,060 --> 01:14:11,350
0kay,
1149
01:14:11,350 --> 01:14:16,190
Thank you very much. I did have a couple of questions. So,
1150
01:14:19,410 --> 01:14:23,550
Um, in appendix, uh, it's just regarding statutory, um,
1151
01:14:23,980 --> 01:14:25,110
defense. Um,
1152
01:14:25,290 --> 01:14:30,070
```

```
in Appendix a table 1.1 of the consent and of the permits register,
1153
01:14:30,170 --> 01:14:34,950
uh, reference as 1 23 regarding noise, the table suggests that,
01:14:35,050 --> 01:14:39,710
uh, section 61 consent may be needed in relation to noise during
construction,
1155
01:14:40,450 --> 01:14:44,190
um, and that the applicant is not seeking to dply this consent
within the D C O.
1156
01:14:44,380 --> 01:14:44,890
However,
1157
01:14:44,890 --> 01:14:49,430
it goes on to say that the D C O does include a statutory defense
and discipli
1158
01:14:49,430 --> 01:14:53,350
Section 61 9 of the control of Pollutions Act 1974.
01:14:53,890 --> 01:14:57,630
Can the applicant just provide some clarity on what exactly is
sought in this
01:14:57,630 --> 01:14:58,463
regard?
1161
01:15:03,150 --> 01:15:07,540
Thank you, ma'am. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant, um, that is
correct.
1162
01:15:07,920 --> 01:15:10,860
The intention is to still seek the, um,
1163
01:15:11,080 --> 01:15:15,580
the necessary consent relating to noise, um, uh,
1164
01:15:17,050 --> 01:15:21,550
and is in discussion with the local authority in respect of those
```

```
arrangements,
1165
01:15:21,690 --> 01:15:24,910
um, which may be dealt with through the Construct Construction
Environmental
1166
01:15:24,910 --> 01:15:29,230
Management Plan and the submission of the various noise and
vibration management
1167
01:15:29,230 --> 01:15:30,830
plans required. Um,
1168
01:15:32,050 --> 01:15:36,670
the intention of the article in the order dealing with the defense
is simply to
1169
01:15:36,670 --> 01:15:40,590
provide a statutory defense should there be, um, a, uh, uh,
1170
01:15:41,070 --> 01:15:42,550
a complaint in relation to noise.
1171
01:15:42,550 --> 01:15:44,790
So it's not to dis apply the requirement for consent,
1172
01:15:44,860 --> 01:15:46,550
it's just to provide the defense.
1173
01:16:08,910 --> 01:16:12,010
Did you have any timeframes from Natural England on when you're
likely to get
1174
01:16:12,010 --> 01:16:14,970
the, the letter of no impediment from regarding the badges?
1175
01:16:20,270 --> 01:16:22,530
We understand any day now. Um,
01:16:23,140 --> 01:16:23,490
We'll,
```

```
1177
01:16:23,490 --> 01:16:25,810
We'll provide an update at Deadline one if we haven't got it.
1178
01:16:26,580 --> 01:16:27,413
Thank you.
1179
01:16:32,020 --> 01:16:35,480
Are there any other issues regard relating to this agenda item that
anybody
1180
01:16:35,480 --> 01:16:36,313
wishes to raise?
1181
01:16:41,230 --> 01:16:46,080
Charles Jones offended in Parish Council. Thank you very much. Um,
um, the, um,
1182
01:16:46,320 --> 01:16:49,800
I have two questions relating to the Concentra Register.
1183
01:16:49,800 --> 01:16:54,240
The first is to ask, well, as I understand it, the, um,
1184
01:16:54,240 --> 01:16:57,840
ground levels inside the Buned area would be below ground level,
01:16:58,460 --> 01:17:02,840
and I presume therefore that the overflows or drainage into the
1186
01:17:03,710 --> 01:17:08,080
outside water features, which were the sort of sub schemes, would be
pumped.
1187
01:17:08,620 --> 01:17:12,640
And I was wondering whether there'd been overflow from there into
the I D B into
1188
01:17:12,640 --> 01:17:16,800
the Black Ditch and whether in fact the agreements with the
Environment Agency
```

```
01:17:16,800 --> 01:17:18,880
or I D B had covered that possibility
1190
01:17:25,000 --> 01:17:26,060
The applicant like to respond.
1191
01:17:28,480 --> 01:17:32,740
Um, Andrew prior from, from a oss, um, the, uh,
1192
01:17:33,140 --> 01:17:33,930
SUD scheme,
1193
01:17:33,930 --> 01:17:38,500
sustainable drainage scheme outside the funded area is separate from
the,
1194
01:17:39,120 --> 01:17:44,060
uh, engineered technical drainage scheme inside, which is subject
to, um,
1195
01:17:44,760 --> 01:17:48,180
uh, regulation under the environmental permit. So the two,
1196
01:17:48,460 --> 01:17:49,340
the two are not linked.
1197
01:17:52,840 --> 01:17:57,320
I pardon my misunderstanding then I read the
1198
01:17:57,320 --> 01:18:02,280
environmental statement and drainage strategies to suggest that the
areas likely
1199
01:18:02,340 --> 01:18:05,640
to be contaminated within the fund would be separated,
1200
01:18:06,140 --> 01:18:09,600
but there might be other areas within the fund that would be part
of,
1201
01:18:10,330 --> 01:18:11,720
would be linked to the sub scheme,
```

```
1202
01:18:13,860 --> 01:18:16,800
or is it the case that there's no linkage between the inside of the
BUN and the
1203
01:18:16,800 --> 01:18:17,633
outside scheme?
1204
01:18:23,330 --> 01:18:25,950
Um, we, we'll respond formally on that point, but the,
1205
01:18:25,950 --> 01:18:27,830
but my understanding is that they are there,
1206
01:18:27,830 --> 01:18:31,430
there are no distinct linkages between the two, but, we'll, we'll,
1207
01:18:31,430 --> 01:18:31,990
we'll check that
1208
01:18:31,990 --> 01:18:33,310
With, thank you very much indeed.
1209
01:18:33,610 --> 01:18:38,470
The second question relates to the final effluent discharge going
through
1210
01:18:38,690 --> 01:18:41,710
the, um, environmental statements supporting appendices,
1211
01:18:42,570 --> 01:18:47,030
the River Water quality modeling in the CAM seems to
01:18:47,340 --> 01:18:52,150
have been, perhaps I'll rephrase this, it would,
1213
01:18:53,090 --> 01:18:55,990
our concern is that the ongoing discussions you mentioned,
1214
01:18:55,990 --> 01:19:00,710
the September 22 document, um, had been submitted. Um,
```

```
1215
01:19:02,090 --> 01:19:06,910
the presence of the Water Beach pipeline introduces the possibility
1216
01:19:07,030 --> 01:19:09,630
a new discharge, um,
1217
01:19:09,930 --> 01:19:14,910
coming through the Milton works and the quantum of that
1218
01:19:14,930 --> 01:19:18,670
or the capacity of that effluent and whether or not the works has
treatment
1219
01:19:18,910 --> 01:19:21,510
capacity at the moment to treat it. And therefore what,
1220
01:19:21,540 --> 01:19:26,190
what the impact on the CAM would be is presumably all wrapped up in
those 2022
1221
01:19:26,510 --> 01:19:27,630
documents and the EAs,
1222
01:19:27,630 --> 01:19:32,230
which EA is review and I was hoping that they might become
01:19:32,580 --> 01:19:37,070
more transparent through this, uh, D C O process. Thank you.
1224
01:19:38,230 --> 01:19:39,650
Did the applicant want to respond to that
1225
01:19:40,480 --> 01:19:43,850
Catherine Taylor for the applicant? Um, there are,
01:19:43,850 --> 01:19:47,730
there are two applications submitted to the Environment Agency.
1227
01:19:48,270 --> 01:19:53,130
```

```
One is for the final effluent for the new works and also an interim
1228
01:19:53,510 --> 01:19:57,890
permit for any increased flow that would go to Milton.
01:19:59,270 --> 01:20:02,170
So I'm not sure if that answers your question, but there are,
1230
01:20:02,170 --> 01:20:06,970
there are two separate applications in relation to Final Effluent
that would
1231
01:20:07,040 --> 01:20:09,600
deal with increased capacity at, at Milton.
1232
01:20:10,300 --> 01:20:12,240
Uh, thank you very much indeed.
1233
01:20:12,400 --> 01:20:15,440
I think I recognized there were two applications, but I hadn't
actually,
1234
01:20:15,480 --> 01:20:19,160
I was wondering if we could see either of them in as through the
processes of
1235
01:20:19,470 --> 01:20:20,640
this, the D C O process,
1236
01:20:21,570 --> 01:20:21,920
Sorry,
1237
01:20:21,920 --> 01:20:25,560
Catherine Taylor for the applicant that they're public documents
with the ea,
1238
01:20:25,620 --> 01:20:27,200
so let's see, why not?
1239
01:20:34,410 --> 01:20:37,470
So they would normally go to the Environment Agency and the
Environment Agency
```

```
1240
01:20:37,470 --> 01:20:39,590
would confirm to us whether they were acceptable or not.
1241
01:20:39,590 --> 01:20:41,990
We wouldn't normally publish 'em through the D C O process.
1242
01:20:46,530 --> 01:20:50,210
I mean, thank you. Perhaps the applicant could provide links to the,
um,
1243
01:20:50,510 --> 01:20:54,250
to the documents to and Dear Parish Council,
1244
01:20:57,770 --> 01:21:01,120
Sorry, Catherine Taylor for the applicant. We can liaise with the
ea, um,
1245
01:21:01,120 --> 01:21:04,280
about how we would share that information if it's difficult.
1246
01:21:05,450 --> 01:21:06,920
Thank you very much indeed, ma'am.
1247
01:21:08,230 --> 01:21:11,680
Just wondered if the EA had any comments on anything that's just
been raised.
1248
01:21:13,640 --> 01:21:16,950
Hello maam. Level Band Environment Agency? Uh, not at all, but, uh,
1249
01:21:16,950 --> 01:21:19,950
like Catherine as the applicant has just mentioned that, um,
1250
01:21:20,050 --> 01:21:22,870
we can look into how we can share those documents. Thank
1251
01:21:23,680 --> 01:21:27,760
You. And I think Save any Hill. Did you have comments?
1252
01:21:29,170 --> 01:21:32,400
```

```
Thank you, mom. Um, Ian Gilda for Save Honey Hill. Um,
1253
01:21:33,470 --> 01:21:37,960
obviously we've made relevant representation that goes to the whole
question of
1254
01:21:37,960 --> 01:21:40,760
the sizing of the works, um, which is clearly, um,
1255
01:21:41,140 --> 01:21:45,280
not at this stage being taken into account in any of the discharge,
um,
1256
01:21:45,840 --> 01:21:48,720
analysis or approaches. And we've not yet, of course had a,
1257
01:21:48,960 --> 01:21:53,760
a response from a clear response from the applicants as to as to the
1258
01:21:54,040 --> 01:21:58,840
adequacy of the 275,300,000 population
1259
01:21:58,840 --> 01:22:03,440
equivalent. Um, a greater concern now is that the,
1260
01:22:03,860 --> 01:22:08,540
the application documents themselves, the document in particular
that, uh,
1261
01:22:08,840 --> 01:22:10,900
Mr. Jones just referred to, which is a,
1262
01:22:11,080 --> 01:22:15,980
an ecological and effectively a water quality assessment
1263
01:22:16,080 --> 01:22:16,913
in the cam.
1264
01:22:17,040 --> 01:22:21,500
The only extent document as part of the D C O process
1265
```

```
01:22:21,880 --> 01:22:24,220
is a, is a report that was prepared, um,
1266
01:22:24,920 --> 01:22:29,860
by England Waters Consultants back in January, 2022. Um, they
themselves,
1267
01:22:30,120 --> 01:22:34,820
um, acknowledged that they couldn't at that stage adequately model
the impacts,
1268
01:22:35,240 --> 01:22:37,780
um, partly a question of,
1269
01:22:37,800 --> 01:22:42,330
of the river cam model not being adequate for the purpose
1270
01:22:42,590 --> 01:22:45,090
or it was producing inaccurate results.
1271
01:22:45,590 --> 01:22:50,250
So the only document before you Madam, which which includes, for
example,
1272
01:22:50,440 --> 01:22:53,330
potential impacts on protected sites, um,
1273
01:22:53,750 --> 01:22:58,210
is that incomplete Vinnie's report from January, 2022. Um,
1274
01:22:59,830 --> 01:23:03,990
I think the point I'm making is that that's not necessarily a matter
that the
1275
01:23:03,990 --> 01:23:08,640
Environment Agency is directly trying to deal with or being asked to
deal with
1276
01:23:08,640 --> 01:23:12,920
through the, um, discharge permit, but there are clearly
implications that,
```

```
01:23:12,920 --> 01:23:16,160
that there isn't before this, um, examination.
1278
01:23:16,620 --> 01:23:21,400
An up-to-date water quality assessment that relates to the modeled
flows
1279
01:23:21,400 --> 01:23:26,360
that are being, um, put forward through either the interim permit or
the,
1280
01:23:26,980 --> 01:23:31,320
the full permit. I mean, clearly the full permit is probably the one
that will,
1281
01:23:31,860 --> 01:23:34,880
has potentially the greatest effect 'cause it's the greatest volume.
Um,
1282
01:23:35,100 --> 01:23:39,720
but those are not before the D C O in any form, um, examination in
any form.
1283
01:23:40,220 --> 01:23:44,720
And Mr. Ben, um, mentioned that he was happy to share the discharge,
1284
01:23:45,220 --> 01:23:48,620
um, license applications, um,
01:23:49,080 --> 01:23:51,060
in their relevant representation. Of course,
1286
01:23:51,120 --> 01:23:55,940
the Environment Agency have raised a lot of technical queries about
lots of
1287
01:23:56,220 --> 01:24:00,260
analysis that have been done, um, in the relation to the discharge
permit.
1288
01:24:00,260 --> 01:24:03,420
They've gone mom and said that they don't wish to comment on the
ongoing
```

```
1289
01:24:03,420 --> 01:24:07,020
discussions about the discharge permit, which I find also, um,
1290
01:24:07,800 --> 01:24:11,820
unsatisfactory from your under for your understanding of, of,
1291
01:24:12,960 --> 01:24:16,660
of the environmental impacts that relate to the discharge and not
necessarily to
1292
01:24:16,660 --> 01:24:21,460
the whose, whose power it is to grant, uh, to grant that permit,
1293
01:24:21,550 --> 01:24:22,940
which is, is what the,
1294
01:24:23,360 --> 01:24:26,940
the normal question is around the separation of powers.
1295
01:24:32,400 --> 01:24:35,050
Just for some reassurance for yourself, I've asked some comments,
uh,
1296
01:24:35,050 --> 01:24:38,010
to the em in our written questions around the, the permitting,
1297
01:24:38,030 --> 01:24:40,650
so hopefully that will address some of the concerns that you have
there.
1298
01:24:40,910 --> 01:24:44,090
If I can ask the applicant to respond on the other points that Save
Honey Hill
1299
01:24:44,090 --> 01:24:45,010
and Mr. Gild has made
1300
01:24:50,360 --> 01:24:54,810
Catherine Taylor the applicant, I think the only thing we can add is
that we,
```

```
1301
01:24:54,830 --> 01:24:58,010
we can't add anything further at this point 'cause those permits are
being
1302
01:24:59,370 --> 01:25:00,690
assessed by the Environment Agency,
1303
01:25:01,230 --> 01:25:04,410
so we don't have anything more that we can add at this point.
1304
01:25:04,690 --> 01:25:08,770
I think it was more on the water quality assessment and it, the,
1305
01:25:09,110 --> 01:25:10,770
the nature of that and, um,
1306
01:25:11,000 --> 01:25:14,170
whether we can rely on it as a document and whether it's
sufficiently up to date
1307
01:25:14,170 --> 01:25:15,003
for our purposes.
1308
01:25:17,070 --> 01:25:18,970
Yes. Yes, ma'am. I, I believe you can.
01:25:18,990 --> 01:25:22,370
The environmental statement is complete and it has a complete water
quality
1310
01:25:22,760 --> 01:25:24,490
chapter. I, uh,
1311
01:25:24,970 --> 01:25:29,010
disagree with the characterization that there are protected area
implications
1312
01:25:29,520 --> 01:25:33,050
arising from water quality. Those issues are fully covered in the
documentation,
```

```
01:25:33,510 --> 01:25:34,170
and of course,
1314
01:25:34,170 --> 01:25:39,050
I think we as applicant would direct you to the provisions of
Section 3.7
1315
01:25:39,150 --> 01:25:43,930
of the N P S that says you need to be content that the other
1316
01:25:44,250 --> 01:25:46,810
regulatory bodies are doing their job properly and the permits will
be
1317
01:25:46,810 --> 01:25:47,590
forthcoming.
1318
01:25:47,590 --> 01:25:51,810
And the division between the D C O and those environmental permits
on water
1319
01:25:51,810 --> 01:25:56,330
quality is clear in statute and you will need to direct your
1320
01:25:56,560 --> 01:25:59,610
discussions with, with environment agencies you just pointed out.
01:25:59,670 --> 01:26:01,930
So I don't think we've got anything to add to that.
1322
01:26:01,930 --> 01:26:06,120
We think we have a complete environmental statement that fully
assesses water
1323
01:26:06,120 --> 01:26:08,840
quality. We fully assess impacts on protected areas,
1324
01:26:09,420 --> 01:26:14,360
and we trust in the Environment Agency to do its job that it is
mandated to do.
1325
01:26:20,500 --> 01:26:22,840
```

```
Mr. Good, was there anything further wanted to add? Um,
1326
01:26:23,360 --> 01:26:26,720
I, I, I don't propose to, um, have an argument with Mr.
01:26:26,770 --> 01:26:29,320
Pryor across the floor of this, um, examination,
1328
01:26:29,380 --> 01:26:31,960
but I believe that that appendix to which Mr.
1329
01:26:31,960 --> 01:26:35,960
Jones and I are referring is an incomplete assessment. Um,
1330
01:26:36,300 --> 01:26:38,160
if an assessment has happened elsewhere,
1331
01:26:38,160 --> 01:26:41,040
and I've missed it in the environmental statement documentation,
1332
01:26:41,060 --> 01:26:44,280
of course we will, uh, withdraw our concern. Um,
1333
01:26:44,380 --> 01:26:47,920
but I believe as it stands that the assessment that was done, um,
1334
01:26:48,290 --> 01:26:52,680
which was done in deliberate support of the two permit
1335
01:26:52,680 --> 01:26:54,240
applications, um,
1336
01:26:55,310 --> 01:26:59,200
doesn't resolve the question of the potential concentrations of a
number of
1337
01:26:59,910 --> 01:27:03,120
matters. It does deal with phosphorus, it does deal with, um,
1338
01:27:03,120 --> 01:27:07,880
other heavy metals. It doesn't deal with biological oxygen, oxygen
```

```
demand,
1339
01:27:07,950 --> 01:27:12,760
dissolved oxygen or, or indeed adequately model sediments.
01:27:13,140 --> 01:27:17,440
Um, if there is an up to date analysis that replaces that, um,
1341
01:27:17,540 --> 01:27:19,000
we should be very pleased to see it.
1342
01:27:23,010 --> 01:27:24,410
Anything further from yourselves on this,
1343
01:27:26,750 --> 01:27:30,250
Uh, mail for the applicant? All I would say is that there's,
1344
01:27:30,250 --> 01:27:32,570
there's clearly a process to be gone through here.
1345
01:27:32,570 --> 01:27:34,370
If Save Honey Hill have those concerns,
1346
01:27:34,940 --> 01:27:38,570
let's see them in their written representation, uh,
1347
01:27:38,670 --> 01:27:40,090
we will then have the opportunity,
1348
01:27:40,160 --> 01:27:43,930
because they're obviously quite some detailed representations in
order, uh,
1349
01:27:44,070 --> 01:27:47,090
to take those away, give them some considered thought and respond
appropriately.
1350
01:27:48,190 --> 01:27:49,490
Yes, Mr. Good. If I could ask you,
1351
01:27:49,490 --> 01:27:52,370
```

```
you ensure that all of your detailed comments are contained within
your written
1352
01:27:52,480 --> 01:27:54,410
reps and then at that point, um,
1353
01:27:54,420 --> 01:27:56,370
we'll expect the applicant to respond to those in detail.
1354
01:27:58,820 --> 01:28:00,290
Understood, ma'am. Thank
01:28:00,290 --> 01:28:01,123
You.
1356
01:28:08,600 --> 01:28:11,900
Was there anybody else who wished to speak on this item either in
the room or
1357
01:28:11,900 --> 01:28:12,733
virtually?
1358
01:28:17,880 --> 01:28:18,713
1359
01:28:20,040 --> 01:28:20,873
No.
1360
01:28:21,990 --> 01:28:25,220
We'll now move on to, uh, agenda item five, the statements of common
ground,
1361
01:28:25,220 --> 01:28:26,580
which Mr. Burley will lead on.
1362
01:28:30,530 --> 01:28:34,520
Thank you. And I'm conscious of time as we'll need to finish this
session at,
1363
01:28:34,540 --> 01:28:38,560
um, 1:00 PM and we still need to go through action points, so, um,
```

```
1364
01:28:38,900 --> 01:28:42,560
please could the applicant provide us with a brief update on the
requested
1365
01:28:42,560 --> 01:28:47,520
statements upon ground, which are listed at Annex h h I beg your
pardon,
1366
01:28:47,520 --> 01:28:50,200
of our Rule six letter, um,
1367
01:28:50,250 --> 01:28:54,560
which we can find very useful in identifying areas of dispute,
1368
01:28:54,700 --> 01:28:58,560
so perhaps focus on the areas of dispute in the summary please.
1369
01:29:01,690 --> 01:29:04,480
Thank you, sir. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant. I'll,
1370
01:29:04,480 --> 01:29:06,560
I'll keep it as brief as I can. Um,
1371
01:29:07,710 --> 01:29:09,840
firstly to confirm that the applicant is, um,
01:29:10,280 --> 01:29:13,480
engaging with all of those parties listed, um, at, um, uh,
1373
01:29:13,990 --> 01:29:16,080
Atix h of the Rule six letter as requested.
1374
01:29:18,590 --> 01:29:21,920
I'll run through them briefly. I've got them in alphabetical order
in my, uh,
1375
01:29:22,140 --> 01:29:24,600
in my notes. So there's no, that's the only reason for,
1376
01:29:24,620 --> 01:29:29,120
for the list that I'll go through. Um, firstly, cadence, um, the,
```

```
1377
01:29:29,120 --> 01:29:33,240
the parties are in discussion really as in relation to format of the
statement
1378
01:29:33,240 --> 01:29:36,320
of common ground. The applicant has been asked to use cadence, uh,
standard,
1379
01:29:36,680 --> 01:29:40,880
standard format, so is, is, is seeking to do that. This will deal
with, um,
1380
01:29:41,740 --> 01:29:43,680
uh, the protective provisions, uh,
1381
01:29:43,700 --> 01:29:47,960
and and clearly outline those matters in the provisions that are,
are not, um,
1382
01:29:48,140 --> 01:29:52,240
are not yet agreed, uh, which I mentioned earlier, relate mainly to
security.
1383
01:29:54,340 --> 01:29:59,240
Uh, with regard to, uh, Cambridge City Council, again, a working
draft is,
1384
01:29:59,300 --> 01:30:03,320
um, is with a greater Cambridge Shared Planning Authority. Um,
1385
01:30:03,420 --> 01:30:07,720
the latest draft went to them on the 29th of September. Um,
1386
01:30:08,660 --> 01:30:13,040
if the examining authorities wanting to understand what remains, um,
1387
01:30:13,900 --> 01:30:18,320
uh, outstanding at this point, I may pass to, um, Mrs.
1388
01:30:18,320 --> 01:30:21,640
Taylor to, um, to briefly outline those if she can.
```

```
1389
01:30:22,540 --> 01:30:25,440
Yes, please. And does just, sorry, before you say that, um,
1390
01:30:26,070 --> 01:30:29,160
does that cover South Cambridge as well? You mentioned it's greater
Cambridge?
1391
01:30:29,160 --> 01:30:33,520
That's correct. Is there any difference between the parties stance
on anything?
1392
01:30:42,480 --> 01:30:43,313
Sorry,
1393
01:30:43,370 --> 01:30:47,910
Any point of major or points of discussion are still in relation to
some points
1394
01:30:47,910 --> 01:30:49,830
on biodiversity and landscape and visual.
1395
01:30:50,700 --> 01:30:53,830
Okay, thank you. And that's, that counts for the greater Cambridge,
1396
01:30:55,450 --> 01:30:57,710
That's for, um, greater Cambridge? Yes.
01:30:57,710 --> 01:30:58,543
Yeah, thank you.
1398
01:31:04,770 --> 01:31:07,830
Moving to Cambridge County Council, um, uh,
1399
01:31:07,930 --> 01:31:11,710
the applicant again updated the latest draft and issued that to the
county on
1400
01:31:11,710 --> 01:31:14,550
the 29th of September. Um,
1401
```

```
01:31:16,820 --> 01:31:20,290
again, I will need to pass to Mrs. Taylor in terms of outstanding
matters.
1402
01:31:22,660 --> 01:31:25,330
Again, Catherine Taylor for the applicant. Um, the point,
1403
01:31:25,400 --> 01:31:27,290
main points of disagreement as we've touched on,
1404
01:31:27,290 --> 01:31:31,850
relate to the traffic and access in section 2 7 8 protected
provisions. Um,
1405
01:31:32,550 --> 01:31:37,450
and we're just going through a couple of key points on the
biodiversity,
1406
01:31:37,790 --> 01:31:38,623
um, and carbon.
1407
01:31:40,990 --> 01:31:43,410
And just on the, um, the 2 7 8 point,
1408
01:31:43,430 --> 01:31:47,450
is there any interface with National Highways, um,
01:31:48,210 --> 01:31:52,330
territory on that because I believe they are responsible for the
over bridge on
1410
01:31:52,330 --> 01:31:53,163
the A 14
1411
01:31:59,150 --> 01:32:04,020
David David Ka for Kosher County Council. Um, yeah, there, there,
there is, um,
1412
01:32:04,420 --> 01:32:06,500
a relationship there, uh, with the highways,
1413
01:32:06,520 --> 01:32:09,220
```

```
the highways bound to bound each other. Um,
1414
01:32:09,360 --> 01:32:12,020
at one point on the slip road in particular, and as you say,
01:32:12,020 --> 01:32:15,220
there's the bridge as well. So there are interrelationships and, uh,
1416
01:32:15,220 --> 01:32:18,380
we'll continue to work with National Highways and,
1417
01:32:18,560 --> 01:32:20,540
and obviously the ING with angling water.
1418
01:32:21,010 --> 01:32:21,860
Okay, so the,
1419
01:32:22,310 --> 01:32:26,300
presumably the statements common ground are being progressed in
tandem for those
1420
01:32:26,300 --> 01:32:27,133
two parties.
1421
01:32:31,450 --> 01:32:32,283
Thank you.
1422
01:32:37,070 --> 01:32:38,930
Thanks sir. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant,
1423
01:32:39,550 --> 01:32:43,690
if I am conscious of time can come, I'll, I'll confine those, um,
1424
01:32:44,300 --> 01:32:47,610
those ones that relate the statements of common ground that relate
to, um,
1425
01:32:47,680 --> 01:32:51,850
essentially protective provisions. Um, they will just reflect, um,
1426
01:32:52,350 --> 01:32:54,890
```

```
the status of the protective provisions. Um, so, uh,
1427
01:32:55,680 --> 01:33:00,170
isolating those from the, from the rest of the list. Um, the, um,
01:33:00,170 --> 01:33:04,410
the applicant has, um, combined, um, um, uh,
1429
01:33:04,970 --> 01:33:09,450
a statement of common ground for the emergency services, um, and,
uh,
1430
01:33:10,910 --> 01:33:14,690
Is, is meeting with the, with the group next on the 27th of October.
1431
01:33:14,750 --> 01:33:16,810
And a statement of common ground has been issued, um,
1432
01:33:16,810 --> 01:33:19,850
ahead of that meeting and will reflect ongoing discussions.
1433
01:33:21,350 --> 01:33:26,170
The historic England State of common ground, um, uh, was most, uh,
1434
01:33:26,530 --> 01:33:31,130
recently sent to, um, historic England on the 10th of October. Um,
and, um,
1435
01:33:32,430 --> 01:33:35,290
has been informed by ha uh, by Historic England that that,
1436
01:33:35,290 --> 01:33:37,050
that they will be considering the draft when,
1437
01:33:37,050 --> 01:33:39,010
when preparing its written representations.
1438
01:33:39,630 --> 01:33:43,130
The outstanding matters relate to mitigating the temporary harm
during
1439
```

```
01:33:43,490 --> 01:33:47,890
construction and agreement on the, um, schedule of archeological
investigations,
1440
01:33:48,100 --> 01:33:52,800
which will then also need to be agreed with the county council. Um,
1441
01:33:53,310 --> 01:33:56,720
natural England has been provided with a draft statement of common
ground and
1442
01:33:56,720 --> 01:33:58,720
have advised they will respond in due course.
1443
01:34:02,140 --> 01:34:06,480
Um, the applicant had prepared, um,
1444
01:34:06,680 --> 01:34:10,640
a combined statement of common ground dealing with S L C Rail and
the Water
1445
01:34:10,640 --> 01:34:14,480
Beach Development Company. However, in response to the latest, um,
1446
01:34:14,480 --> 01:34:18,440
consultation recently undertaken with regard to the order limits
change request,
1447
01:34:18,830 --> 01:34:21,320
both of those parties have requested separate statements.
1448
01:34:21,340 --> 01:34:24,720
So that is being done and will be shared with those parties. Um,
1449
01:34:24,720 --> 01:34:26,320
before the applicant's next meeting with them,
1450
01:34:26,320 --> 01:34:28,400
which is on Monday the 23rd of October.
1451
01:34:32,290 --> 01:34:36,750
Um, the applicant has been in touch with, with Safe Honey Hill, um,
```

```
to discuss,
1452
01:34:36,930 --> 01:34:40,950
um, and agree points of contact with regard to preparing a statement
of common
1453
01:34:40,950 --> 01:34:45,030
ground and those discussions will, will continue. Um, the applicant
is,
1454
01:34:45,050 --> 01:34:46,630
is intending to, uh,
1455
01:34:46,770 --> 01:34:50,950
to provide a draft to Safe Honey Hill next week for review.
1456
01:34:54,500 --> 01:34:58,320
That leaves the Environment Agency, which I believe, um, is um,
1457
01:34:58,620 --> 01:35:03,000
was most recently sued to them on the 13th of October. Um, and, uh,
will deal,
1458
01:35:03,020 --> 01:35:03,853
as I mentioned earlier,
1459
01:35:03,950 --> 01:35:07,920
with all of the current arrangements of the permit applications,
1460
01:35:10,790 --> 01:35:15,450
the National Trust, um, uh, statement come ground has been recently
updated, um,
1461
01:35:15,610 --> 01:35:19,890
following their relevant representation and the a draft was issued
to them on
1462
01:35:19,890 --> 01:35:24,010
the 3rd of October. And then finally the um, uh,
1463
01:35:24,470 --> 01:35:27,370
the Wildlife Trust, a draft has been provided to them and the,
```

```
1464
01:35:27,370 --> 01:35:31,690
and and the applicant has, um, has informed, uh,
1465
01:35:31,710 --> 01:35:34,770
the wildlife trust that the examining authority has requested a
statement of
1466
01:35:34,770 --> 01:35:35,850
common ground. However,
1467
01:35:35,850 --> 01:35:39,410
they have indicated to the applicant that they don't wish to engage
any further
1468
01:35:39,470 --> 01:35:42,050
in the process. Um, we, um, uh,
1469
01:35:42,800 --> 01:35:47,720
will request that they do engage but keep the examining authority
updated
1470
01:35:47,740 --> 01:35:51,280
as we can. However, we don't know if they will respond. Thank
1471
01:35:51,280 --> 01:35:55,680
You. And you mentioned S L C Rail there, is that the company,
1472
01:35:55,960 --> 01:35:58,680
a separate company responsible for the station relocation?
1473
01:36:00,150 --> 01:36:04,040
Catherine Taylor for the applicant. That's the company who are, um,
1474
01:36:05,170 --> 01:36:10,120
developing the station for on behalf of the greater Cambridge ship.
1475
01:36:10,530 --> 01:36:12,000
Right, thank you. Um,
1476
01:36:12,790 --> 01:36:16,280
```

```
common ground.
1477
01:36:16,390 --> 01:36:18,160
Will that cover, um,
1478
01:36:18,160 --> 01:36:22,080
matters raised in representation such as, um,
1479
01:36:22,380 --> 01:36:25,080
the cumulative effect of construction traffic and so on?
1480
01:36:27,900 --> 01:36:29,990
Catherine Taylor for the applicant? Um, yes,
1481
01:36:29,990 --> 01:36:33,030
it will pick up those points and the points that they've put in
their response,
1482
01:36:33,120 --> 01:36:34,110
their relevant reps.
1483
01:36:34,360 --> 01:36:38,350
Thank you for that clarification and thank you for, um, running
through that.
1484
01:36:38,620 --> 01:36:42,670
Does anybody else in the room have any questions or comments in
relation to
1485
01:36:42,760 --> 01:36:47,710
statements of common ground? Nobody. And do,
1486
01:36:47,740 --> 01:36:50,270
does any virtual attendee wish to speak?
1487
01:36:54,670 --> 01:36:57,520
Nope. Thank you. I'll hand over to Ms.
1488
01:36:57,950 --> 01:37:01,080
Reky for the next agenda item. Thank you.
```

they aren't on our list and you're providing a separate state to

```
1489
01:37:02,700 --> 01:37:05,560
I'm now going to run briefly through the list of actions we have
identified
1490
01:37:05,560 --> 01:37:08,520
today and the deadlines for such actions. Uh,
1491
01:37:08,520 --> 01:37:11,880
these will all be required by draft, uh, uh, deadline one.
01:37:12,980 --> 01:37:17,800
So to the applicant, um, sorry, I have a list of 16 actions as
follows. Uh,
1493
01:37:17,800 --> 01:37:19,720
first to the applicant, um,
1494
01:37:20,250 --> 01:37:24,960
confirming which works can be carried out during the enabling phase,
um, and,
1495
01:37:25,540 --> 01:37:28,200
uh, prior to certain details being submitted and approved,
1496
01:37:28,470 --> 01:37:31,480
such as regarding requirement nine, um,
1497
01:37:31,530 --> 01:37:35,720
again to the applicant looking at the issuer around the potential
shortcut, um,
1498
01:37:35,780 --> 01:37:40,400
of vehicle shortcutting routes and vehicles along low fe drove way,
um,
1499
01:37:40,400 --> 01:37:44,720
whether this is practical or likely, um, uh,
1500
01:37:44,720 --> 01:37:48,240
and resurfacing of the whether resurfacing of the highway is
necessary or
```

```
1501
01:37:48,240 --> 01:37:52,360
justified. Um, 0.3, um,
1502
01:37:52,360 --> 01:37:56,560
correcting the drafting of error under Article 35 9
01:37:57,610 --> 01:37:58,880
0.4. Um,
1504
01:37:58,880 --> 01:38:03,040
looking at the extent of the works and what is required regarding
the power sort
1505
01:38:03,040 --> 01:38:07,640
under Article 44 B regarding the river cam and eng engaging with the
1506
01:38:07,640 --> 01:38:11,440
conservatoires of, uh, river cam on the wording, um,
1507
01:38:11,820 --> 01:38:15,480
for Article 44 B, uh, action 0.5,
1508
01:38:16,080 --> 01:38:18,640
updating the paragraph referencing, uh,
1509
01:38:18,740 --> 01:38:23,680
errors relating to chapter two of the es. Um,
1510
01:38:23,900 --> 01:38:28,840
action 0.6, um, making it a little clearer regarding the enabling
works.
1511
01:38:29,060 --> 01:38:33,960
Uh, phase two referenced in paragraph 3.1 0.7 vs chapter
1512
01:38:34,100 --> 01:38:35,360
two, um,
1513
01:38:35,890 --> 01:38:39,320
which are not included within the enabling works defined within
Schedule two
```

```
1514
01:38:39,390 --> 01:38:43,840
part one of the draft D C O and to the local authorities, um,
1515
01:38:43,860 --> 01:38:48,600
to confirm whether Natural England and the EA would be consulted on
requirements
1516
01:38:48,780 --> 01:38:52,760
10 and 11.8, um,
1517
01:38:53,180 --> 01:38:56,320
to both the applicant and the local authorities.
1518
01:38:56,910 --> 01:39:00,440
Discuss and come to conclusion on how the discharging requirements
would work,
1519
01:39:01,060 --> 01:39:01,280
um,
1520
01:39:01,280 --> 01:39:05,600
when they relate to land within both administrative boundaries and
clarify the
01:39:05,600 --> 01:39:10,280
point on the discharging authority or local authority for clarity
and
1522
01:39:10,280 --> 01:39:14,680
consistency within the D C 0 0.9
1523
01:39:15,100 --> 01:39:16,480
to the applicant. Um,
1524
01:39:16,630 --> 01:39:21,520
provide greater clarity within Schedule 14 of the draft D C
1525
01:39:21,560 --> 01:39:26,160
O regarding the parameters of development. Point 10.
1526
```

```
01:39:26,790 --> 01:39:31,760
Provide greater clarification regarding Schedule 17 three regarding
the dis
1527
01:39:31,760 --> 01:39:34,720
application of building regulation and what this applies to,
1528
01:39:36,390 --> 01:39:38,170
to the applicant and local authorities.
1529
01:39:38,830 --> 01:39:42,890
Review schedule 17 five of the draft E C O regarding the dis
application of the
1530
01:39:42,890 --> 01:39:47,330
community infrastructure levy and provide greater justification for
its d dis
1531
01:39:47,330 --> 01:39:51,330
application and to the local authorities to provide confirmation on
whether the
1532
01:39:51,330 --> 01:39:56,170
dis application of the community infrastructure levy to the proposed
development
1533
01:39:56,200 --> 01:39:58,610
including the gateway buildings is acceptable,
01:39:58,910 --> 01:40:02,890
noting the absence of a seal charging schedule or whether any other
relevant
1535
01:40:02,890 --> 01:40:06,130
section when a six obligations in this regard would be appropriate
1536
01:40:07,710 --> 01:40:10,530
to the applicant. Review the limits of deviation,
1537
01:40:10,700 --> 01:40:15,450
parameters of the development and assessment within the the ES to
ensure that
```

```
1538
01:40:15,450 --> 01:40:18,850
the water beach pipeline would be constructed below ground with
reference to
1539
01:40:18,850 --> 01:40:23,770
Article six of the draft E C O. Um, point 13.
1540
01:40:24,790 --> 01:40:28,090
Um, Mr. Gilda from Safe Honey Hill to provide, um,
01:40:28,570 --> 01:40:33,090
detailed D C O drafting suggestions in their written representations
and to and
1542
01:40:33,370 --> 01:40:38,190
directly to the applicant to facilitate discussions between the
parties, um,
1543
01:40:38,210 --> 01:40:40,950
to the local councils and the applicant. Um,
1544
01:40:41,780 --> 01:40:44,070
just an update regarding the section, um,
1545
01:40:44,090 --> 01:40:47,670
2 7 8 agreement and the impact on the protective provisions and how
that's being
1546
01:40:47,950 --> 01:40:48,783
progressed.
1547
01:40:49,280 --> 01:40:54,160
Point 15 to the applicant to respond to ation Parish Council's query
1548
01:40:54,270 --> 01:40:58,280
regarding the connection between the suds outside of the BUN and
drainage inside
1549
01:40:58,280 --> 01:40:59,113
of the bun.
1550
```

```
01:40:59,820 --> 01:41:03,880
And finally point 16 to the applicant to provide details of the
permit
1551
01:41:03,880 --> 01:41:07,280
applications to the Environment Agency to ton parish council.
1552
01:41:14,450 --> 01:41:17,020
Just to confirm with the applicant. Does that correlate with your
list?
1553
01:41:32,140 --> 01:41:32,490
Thank
1554
01:41:32,490 --> 01:41:35,330
You. Mark Paul May for the applicant? Yes, in short.
1555
01:41:35,900 --> 01:41:36,733
Thank you.
1556
01:41:37,080 --> 01:41:40,810
Does anyone have any comments or queries regarding the action list?
1557
01:41:43,920 --> 01:41:48,250
Adam? Um, there was the point about checking the National highways
and, and, um,
01:41:49,150 --> 01:41:50,890
the intersect on the statement of common ground,
1559
01:41:51,430 --> 01:41:54,130
but that flows after the statements of common ground, but it Okay.
1560
01:41:54,270 --> 01:41:55,490
We have noted that. Thank you.
1561
01:41:58,750 --> 01:42:00,570
No, can't see anybody else in the
01:42:00,570 --> 01:42:03,930
Room? No. Okay.
```

```
1563
01:42:04,510 --> 01:42:07,170
And I'll hand that to Mr. Hudson for agenda item seven.
1564
01:42:13,390 --> 01:42:16,480
I've had no other matters notified to me under this agenda item that
people wish
1565
01:42:16,480 --> 01:42:19,960
to raise at this hearing. Um,
1566
01:42:20,860 --> 01:42:25,760
are there any other matters that anybody wishes to raise? Okay,
1567
01:42:25,820 --> 01:42:29,120
I'm seeing no hands up in the room or virtually.
1568
01:42:29,760 --> 01:42:34,400
I do actually just have a question. It's about the section 1 0 6
agreements. Um,
1569
01:42:35,340 --> 01:42:38,120
in a, like, in a nutshell,
1570
01:42:38,890 --> 01:42:43,720
could you just explain why you provided them and do any of them in
01:42:43,750 --> 01:42:45,600
involve financial obligations?
1572
01:42:49,050 --> 01:42:52,640
Thank you sir. Laura Beth Hutton for the applicant. Um, uh,
1573
01:42:53,250 --> 01:42:57,560
there are two drafts, section 1 0 6 agreements at the moment. Um,
1574
01:42:57,870 --> 01:43:01,560
they were, um, initially prepared separately on the basis that it
was, um,
1575
01:43:01,880 --> 01:43:04,960
considered that different land may have been bound, um, which,
```

```
1576
01:43:04,960 --> 01:43:09,680
which isn't the case at the moment. And sh should that change, um,
1577
01:43:09,750 --> 01:43:13,760
they may, they may remain separate. If it doesn't, they may well be
combined.
1578
01:43:14,060 --> 01:43:16,840
Um, uh, they are the subjective of,
1579
01:43:16,860 --> 01:43:19,840
of undergoing discussions with the county council. Um,
1580
01:43:20,710 --> 01:43:25,640
they do provide for potential financial payments
1581
01:43:26,420 --> 01:43:30,720
in the event, um, in any the scenario. Um, uh, one,
1582
01:43:30,780 --> 01:43:32,880
one dealing with nuisance parking, um,
1583
01:43:33,100 --> 01:43:38,080
and one dealing with antisocial behavior in either of the section 1
0 6
1584
01:43:38,080 --> 01:43:41,200
agreements. It sh should certain matters arise. Uh, the,
1585
01:43:41,220 --> 01:43:43,480
the result or mitigation, um,
1586
01:43:44,760 --> 01:43:47,080
proposed to be secured through those agreements is, um,
1587
01:43:47,180 --> 01:43:50,520
the potential for a traffic regulation order to be, um,
1588
01:43:50,590 --> 01:43:55,400
created and imposed by the county council. And the applicant simply
```

```
provides,
1589
01:43:55,580 --> 01:43:57,680
um, a financial commitment, um,
01:43:57,780 --> 01:44:02,040
for the county to undertake that that order should it be needed.
1591
01:44:02,740 --> 01:44:07,440
Um, they are very draft there, the subjective discussions. Um, we,
1592
01:44:07,440 --> 01:44:10,120
we will keep the, uh, the examining authority updated on them
1593
01:44:10,340 --> 01:44:14,280
And they, they relate to the existing wastewater treatment plant.
1594
01:44:15,190 --> 01:44:19,000
They, the land that is bound by them is the existing wastewater
treatment plant
1595
01:44:19,000 --> 01:44:22,400
because that is the land under control of the applicant At this
stage, yes,
1596
01:44:23,020 --> 01:44:23,880
at the moment. Okay.
1597
01:44:24,430 --> 01:44:25,263
Okay. Thank you.
1598
01:44:30,540 --> 01:44:32,110
Okay. Thank you for that. Uh,
1599
01:44:32,110 --> 01:44:35,030
thank you for all your contributions so helpfully to this hearing,
01:44:35,030 --> 01:44:37,750
including from the virtual participants. Uh,
1601
01:44:37,770 --> 01:44:40,830
```

```
may we remind you that the digital recording of the proceedings
today will be
1602
01:44:40,830 --> 01:44:44,990
made available as soon as practicable on the project page of the
national
1603
01:44:44,990 --> 01:44:49,150
infrastructure website. May we also remind you of the next stage of
the process,
1604
01:44:49,520 --> 01:44:54,150
which is issue specific hearing two on the principle of the proposed
development
1605
01:44:54,890 --> 01:44:57,350
at two o'clock this afternoon. Um,
1606
01:44:57,440 --> 01:45:01,630
issue of the examination timetable and written questions, uh, short,
uh,
1607
01:45:01,630 --> 01:45:05,470
early next week, and deadline one on the 20th of November, 2023.
1608
01:45:07,930 --> 01:45:09,350
```

The time now is one o'clock.

01:45:09,610 --> 01:45:12,550

And this issue specific hearing into the draft D C O.

1609